



ECo60-JUICE

Final Project Report

LIP

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas

Av. Elias Garcia 14-1º 1000-149 Lisboa, Portugal Tel: +351 21 7973880 Fax: +351 21 7934631 www.lip.pt

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 1/126





	Name	Signature
Prepared by	Marco Pinto (mpinto@lip.pt) Pedro Assis (pedro.assis@lip.pt)	
Reviewed by	Patrícia Gonçalves (patricia@lip.pt) Miguel Ferreira (miguel@lip.pt)	Hadrison foresters
Approved by		

EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY						
CONTRACT REPORT						
The work described in this	report was done	e un	der ESA contrac	t.		
Responsibility for the conte	ents resides in th	ne a	uthor or organiz	zatio	on that prepared it.	
Project Name:	ECo-60					
ESA Contract Number:	ESA Contract Number: RFQ/3-13975/13/NL/PA					
Company Details:	LIP					
ESA's Technical Officer:	Christian Poive	ey				
ESA's Department:	ESA's Department: TEC-QC					
Document Type	Document Type Final Project Report					
Document Category:	For Approval	Х	For Review		For Information:	

Document Change Log

Reason for change	Issue	Revision	Date
Draft	1	0	13/01/2017
Added full irradiation data analysis, component traceability, dosimetry information and other minor changes.	1	1	15/05/2017
Annealing section completed.	2	0	13/09/2017
Suggested corrections implementation and Displacement Damage analysis	2	1	03/11/2017

ECo60-JUICE Do	ocument	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE Type	ype	Final Project Report
components flown in the Jupiter electron environment Dat	ate	15-12-2017
Pag	age	2/126





Contents

1	Exe	xecutive Summary		
	1.1	Cont	tractual	7
	1.2	Gen	eral	8
	1.3	Purp	pose	8
2	Rela	ated I	Documents	8
	2.1	App	licable and Reference Documents	8
	2.1	.1	Definitions, acronyms and abbreviations	.8
3	Ger	neral	Procedures and Equipment	10
	3.1	Devi	ice Description and Serialization	10
	3.2	Com	ponent parameters	11
	3.2	.1	Component A	1
	3.2	.2	Component B	12
	3.2	.3	Component C	13
	3.2	.4	Component D	15
	3.2	.5	Component E1	16
	3.3	Radi	iation Test Facilities	16
	3.3	.1	Co1 – Co-60 irradiation at LDR	16
	3.3	.2	Co2 – Co-60 irradiation at HDR	L7
	3.3	.3	Eb1 – Irradiation with electrons of energy 12 MeV at HSM	17
	3.3	.4	Eb2 – Irradiation with electrons of energy 12 MeV at RADEF	L7
	3.3	.5	Eb3 – Irradiation with electrons of energy 20 MeV at RADEF	٤9
4	Tes	t Plar	n	20
	4.1	Pre-	Irradiation Tests	20
	4.2	Irrac	diation Plan	20
	4.3	Ann	ealing Plan	21
5	Tes	t Setu	up Description	21
6	Chij	o seri	alization	25
	6.1	Com	ponent A – STRH100N10	25

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 3/126





	6.2	Com	nponent B - Memory	6
	6.3	Com	nponent C – 2N2222	7
	6.4	Com	1ponent D – LM124	8
	6.5	Com	nponent E - LM4050	9
	6.6	Carr	rier Boards	0
	6.6	.1	Power Carrier board	C
	6.6	.2	Memory Carrier Board	C
	6.6	.3	Generic Carrier board32	1
7	Mea	asuri	ng Protocol	2
	7.1	Com	nponent A – STRH100N10	2
	7.2	Com	nponent B – Memory	3
	7.3	Com	nponent C – 2N2222	3
	7.4	Com	1ponent D – LM124	4
	7.5	Com	nponent E – LM4050	4
8	Irra	diatio	on Test Results	5
	8.1	Com	nponent A – STRH100N10	6
	8.1	.1	Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 1	7
	8.1	.2	Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 2	8
	8.1	.3	Drain Current	9
	8.1	.4	Gate-to-Source Threshold Voltage40	C
	8.1	.5	Static Drain-to-Source On Resistance42	1
	8.1	.6	Source-to-Drain Diode Forward Voltage42	2
	8.2	Com	nponent B – Memory	3
	8.2	.1	Functional Tests44	4
	8.2	.2	Power Supply Tests	8
	8.3	Com	nponent C – 2N2222	0
	8.3	.1	Collector-Base Cut-Off Current	1
	8.3	.2	Emitter-Base Cut-Off Current52	2
	8.3	.3	Collector-Emitter Saturation Voltage53	3

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 4/126





	8.3.4	Base-Emitter Saturation Voltage	54
	8.3.5	Forward-Current Transfer Ratio ($I_c = 100 \mu A$)	55
	8.3.6	Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (I _C = 10mA)	56
	8.3.7	Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (I _c = 150mA)	57
	8.3.8	Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (I _c = 500mA)	58
	8.4 Cor	nponent D – LM124	59
	8.4.1	Power Supply Current	60
	8.4.2	Input Bias Current +	60
	8.4.3	Input Bias Current –	61
	8.4.4	Input Current Offset	62
	8.4.5	Input Offset Voltage	63
	8.4.6	Common Mode Rejection Ratio (CMRR)	65
	8.4.7	Power Supply Rejection Ratio (PSRR)	66
	8.4.8	Voltage Gain	67
	8.4.9	Maximum Output Voltage Swing	68
	8.4.10	Slew Rate +	69
	8.4.11	Slew Rate –	70
	8.5 Cor	nponent E – LM4050	71
	8.5.1	Reference Voltage (I_R=74 μ A)	72
	8.5.2	Reference Voltage (I_R=100 μA)	73
	8.5.3	Reference Voltage (I _R =1mA)	74
	8.5.4	Reference Voltage (I _R =10mA)	75
	8.5.5	Reference Voltage (I _R =15mA)	76
9	Anneali	ng Results	77
	9.1 Cor	nponent A – STH100N10	77
	9.1.1	Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 1	77
	9.1.2	Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 2	78
	9.1.3	Drain Current	79

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 5/126





9.1	4	Gate-to-Source Threshold	80
9.1	L.5	Static Drain-to-Source On Resistance	81
9.1	.6	Source-to-Drain Diode Forward Voltage	82
9.2	Con	nponent B – Memories	83
9.3	Con	nponent C – 2N2222	83
9.3	8.1	Collector-Base Cut-Off Current	83
9.3	8.2	Emitter-Base Cut-Off Current	84
9.3	8.3	Collector-Emitter Saturation Voltage	85
9.3	8.4	Base-Emitter Saturation Voltage	86
9.3	8.5	Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (Ic=100µA)	87
9.3	8.6	Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (Ic=10mA)	88
9.3	8.7	Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (Ic=100mA)	89
9.3	8.8	Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (Ic=500mA)	90
9.4	Con	nponent D – LM124	91
9.4	1.1	Power Supply Current	91
9.4	1.2	Input Bias Current –	92
9.4	1.3	Input Bias Current +	93
9.4	1.4	Input Current Offset	94
9.4	1.5	Input Voltage Offset	95
9.4	1.6	Common Mode Rejection Ratio	96
9.4	1.7	Power Supply Rejection Ratio	97
9.4	1.8	Voltage Gain	98
9.4	1.9	Maximum Output Voltage Swing	99
9.4	1.10	Slew Rate +	100
9.4	1.11	Slew Rate	101
9.5	Con	nponent E – LM4050	102
9.5	5.1	Reference Voltage (I _R =74μA)	102
9.5	5.2	Reference Voltage (I _R =100μA)	103

Document E Type F Date 1 Page 6

Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 6/126





	9.5	5.3 Reference Voltage (I _R =1mA)	104
	9.5	5.4 Reference Voltage (I _R =10mA)	105
	9.5	5.5 Reference Voltage (I _R =15mA)	106
10	Disp	splacement Damage to LM124 from Electrons	107
1	0.1	Power Supply Current	108
1	0.2	Input Offset Voltage	108
1	0.3	Input Bias Current +	109
1	0.4	Input Bias Current –	111
1	0.5	Input Current Offset	111
1	0.6	Voltage Swing	111
1	0.7	Power Supply Rejection Ratio	111
1	0.8	Common-Mode Rejection Ratio	112
1	0.9	Slew Rate Rise and Fall	113
11	Con	nclusions	113
12	Ann	nex I - Test Plan Forms	114
13	13 Annex III – WP3200 PSSA20 form		

1 Executive Summary

1.1 Contractual

The following document has been prepared by LIP for ESA/ESTEC and refers to the WP3200 "Definition of Test Plans" of ESA contract RFQ/3-13975/13/NL/PA, "Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in Jupiter electron environment". The radiation test plans presented in this document are listed in the deliverable items as documents D2 to D5 of WP3200.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 7/126





1.2 General

The objective of the present activity, corresponding to RFQ/3-13975/13/NL/PA, Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in Jupiter electron environment, is to verify that the standard EEE component Total Ionising Dose (TID) irradiation testing employing ⁶⁰Co gammas is valid for the high energy electron field observed in the Jovian environment. In this activity a number of typical EEE component technologies will be irradiated with Co-60 gammas and with high energy (\geq 10MeV) electron beams. The results of both irradiations will be subsequently compared and employed in the JUICE RHA process.

The activity is divided in 3 phases: Phase 1, corresponding to the selection of test candidates; Phase 2, corresponding to the preparation of the EEE parts irradiation tests and Phase 3, in which the components will be irradiated and the results of the irradiation tests will be analysed. WP3200 tasks are described in the corresponding PSSA20 form, in section 13.

1.3 Purpose

The purpose of work package WP3200 is to define the test plans in conformance to the requirements layout in the Statement of Work and the ESCC (European Space Components Coordination) "Total Dose Steady-State Irradiation Test Method" (ESCC Detail Specification No. 22900, Issue 2, August 2003).

2 Related Documents

2.1 Applicable and Reference Documents

- [AD1] "Total Dose Steady-State Irradiation Test Method", Ref. ESCC 22900
- [AD2] "ECo60-JUICE Procurement of Test Candidates", Ref. Eco-60/P2/WP3100/PR
- [AD3] "Department Of Defense Test Method Standard Environmental Test Methods for Semiconductor Devices", Ref. MIL-STD-750
- [AD4] -"U-EEE-RAD-1080650-RSE, Issue 1"

2.1.1 Definitions, acronyms and abbreviations

- AA: Agency Approval
- AD: Applicable Document
- DD: Directionality Detector
- ESA: European Space Agency
- ELDRS: Enhanced Low dose Rate Sensitivity

ECo60-JUICE

Verification	of	Co-60	testing	representativeness	for	EEE
components	flow	n in the	e Jupiter	electron environmen	t	

DocumentEco60-LIP-FR-v2.1TypeFinal Project ReportDate15-12-2017Page8/126





ESTEC:	European Space Research and Technology Centre
HDR:	High dose Rate
HK:	House Keeping
KO:	Kick-Off
LDR:	Low Dose Rate
LIP:	Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas
MC:	Monte Carlo
RD:	Reference Document
SOW:	Statement Of Work
UUID:	Universal Unique Identification
TBD:	To Be Determined
TN:	Technical Note
WP:	Work Package

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Date Page	15-12-2017 9/126





3 General Procedures and Equipment

3.1 Device Description and Serialization

The test plan involves five different types of devices (A, B, C, D, E) defined in [AD2]. The components are listed in Table 3-1 and can be seen in Figure 3-1.

Component	А	В	С	D	E
Туре	Transistor (discrete MOS/CMOS)	FLASH-NAND Memory (MOS/CMOS IC)	Transistor (Bipolar)	Analog ICs non ELDRS	Analog ICs displaying ELDRS
Reference	STRH100N10	MT29F32G08ABAAAWP-ITZ	2N2222	LM124	LM4050WG5.0- MPR
Manufacturer	STMicroelectronics	Micron	STMicroelectronics	Texas Instruments	Texas Instruments
Marking	520502101F BeO	29F32G08ABAAA	520100212	RM124AJRQMLV -JMO7C6273	LM4050WG5.0- MPR
Date Code	1305A	1412	1345A	H7B1033W	HOB1022A
# of units	27	32	26	30	30

Table 3-1 List of components to be tested



Figure 3-1 Component pictures from left to right, component A to E.

The components are serialized with an ID in the form of:

<component type>-<serial #>

Where:

- <component type> is a one letter code indicating the type of component in accordance with Table 3-1.
- <serial #> is a two digit number indicating the serial number for each component type. This code will be marked in the component. The components that are marked by the manufacturer with a serial number will not be marked with the "serial #".

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date	Final Project Report 15-12-2017
	Page	10/126





The components were serialized prior to the pre-irradiation measurements. After the preirradiation measurements the components were selected to form the different set of samples and a Universal Unique ID was assigned by adding the "irradiation type" code. The UUID takes the form: <irradiation type>-<component type>-<serial #>

Where:

- <irradiation type> indicates the type of irradiation to be performed in the component:
 - Co1 Irradiation with Co-60 source in LDR
 - Co2 Irradiation with Co-60 source in HDR
 - Eb1 Irradiation with electron beam with energy E1=12 MeV at Santa Maria Hospital
 - Eb2 Irradiation with electron beam with energy E1=12 MeV at RADEF
 - Eb3 Irradiation with electron beam with energy E2=20 MeV at RADEF
 - Ref Reference component.
 - SPA Spare parts

3.2 Component parameters

For each type of components the relevant parameters were measured with focus on the understanding differences between the different types of irradiation, namely between Co-60 irradiation and electron irradiation. In the following sections the relevant parameters to be measured for each component type are identified.

The components were irradiated and measured at room temperature T_{amb}=+22±3°C.

3.2.1 Component A

Component A is a 100V N channel MOS transistor manufactured by ST Microelectronics with the reference STRH100N10. This component was irradiated unbiased. The measured parameters are listed in Table 3-2.

ECo60-JUICE		Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	or EEE	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 11/26





Characteristics	Symbols	Test	Limits		
		Conditions	Min	Max	Un.
Gate-to-Source Leakage	IGSS1	Vgs=20V,	-	100	nA
Current 1		VDS=0V			
Gate-to-Source Leakage	IGSS2	Vgs=-20V,	-100	-	nA
Current 2		Vds=0V			
Drain Current	IDSS	Vds=40V,	-	10	μA
		Vgs=0V			
Gate-to-Source	VGS(th)	Vds≥Vgs	2	4.5	V
Threshold Voltage		l⊳=1mA			
Static Drain-to-Source	f DS(on)	Vgs=12V,	-	35	mΩ
On Resistance		ID=24A			
Source-to-Drain Diode	Vsd	Vgs=0V,	-	1.5	V
Forward Voltage		Isd=48A			

Table 3-2 - Electrical	parameters to be measured	for Component A
	parameters to be measured	Tor component A

3.2.2 Component B

Component B is a NAND-flash memory manufactured by Micron with reference MT29F32G08ABAAAWP-ITZ. This component was irradiated under static bias with V=3.3V.

The test consisted in the determination of error rates in holding values and in the operation of set/reset.

The memory size of the component is 32 GBits. To estimate the error rate with small statistical error it is possible to test only a part of the chip. As such, we made partitions of the chip and performed several tests in the same chip. The memories were partitioned in 64 blocks portions that were tested according to following table:

Partition #	Pattern	Type of test
0	All 'O'	Static
1	All '1'	Static
2	Checkerboard	Static
3	Inv. Checker	Static
4	All 'O'	Dynamic
5	All '1'	Dynamic
6	Checkerboard	Dynamic
7	Inv. Checker	Dynamic

Table 3-3 Memory Tests Summary

Note: Due to time constraints tests with partitions 3 and 7 were not performed except in Co2.

Co60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 12/126





In the static test, the memory is loaded with the specific pattern prior to irradiation and is only read during the whole test. In the dynamic test the memory is rewritten in each intermediate measurement step. During the rewriting the partitions are tested for set/reset errors. The test sequences are as follows:

- 1) Prior to irradiation
 - a. Erase
 - b. Write patterns in ALL partitions
 - c. Read to validate
- 2) Irradiate DUTs
- 3) Read all partitions to test data retention
- 4) Erase partitions 4 to 7 and test reset operation
- 5) Write patterns in partitions 4 to 7
- 6) Read partitions 4 to 7 to validate and to test set errors
- 7) Repeat points 2 to 6 for each irradiation step and points 3 to 6 for each annealing step.

Besides the functional test, the power supply current of the memory was also measured after each irradiation step.

DC characteristics, namely the currents from power supply were also measured. The parameters listed in Table 3-2 were measured prior to irradiation, in between radiation steps, after radiation finishes and during the annealing phase.

Characteristics	Symbols	Test Conditions	Limits		
			Min	Max	Un.
Standby current - VCC	I _{SB}	CE# = VCCQ - 0.2V;	-	50	uA
		WP# = 0V/VCCQ			
Array read current (active)	ICC1_A	-	-	50	mA

3.2.3 Component C

Component C is a bipolar transistor from ST Microelectronics with reference 2N2222. This component was biased during irradiation. The biasing circuit is shown in Figure 3-2.

The post-irradiation gain calculation of [hFE], was made using hFE measurements from each step, as specified in [AD3], test method 1019.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 13/126





Figure 3-2 – Biasing circuit for 2N2222 irradiation



The parameters listed in Table 3-5 were measured prior to irradiation, in between radiation steps, after radiation finishes and during the annealing phase.

Characteristics	Symbols	Test Conditions	Limits		
			Min	Max	Un.
Collector-Base Cut-off Current	Сво	V _{CB} = 60V	-	10	nA
Emitter-Base Cutoff Current	Ево	V _{EB} = 3V	-	10	nA
Collector-Emitter Saturation Voltage	V _{CE(sat)}	l _C = 150mA, l _B = 15mA	-	300	mV
Base-Emitter Saturation Voltage	V _{BE(sat)}	I _C = 150mA, I _B = 15mA	-	1.2	V
Forward-Current Transfer Ratio	hfe1	V _{CE} = 10V, I _C = 100µA	35	-	-
	h _{FE2}	V _{CE} = 10V, I _C = 10mA	75	-	-
	hfeg	$V_{CE} = 10V, I_C = 150mA$	100	300	-
	h _{FE4}	$V_{CE} = 10V, I_C = 500mA$	40	-	-

Table 3-5 – List of electrical parameters to be measured for component C

ECo60-JUICE		Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	EEE	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 14/126





3.2.4 Component D

Component D is an operational amplifier manufactured by Texas Instruments with reference LM124. This component was irradiated unbiased with all leads tied to ground.

The parameters listed in Table 3-6 were measured prior to irradiation, in between radiation steps, after radiation finishes and during the annealing phase.

Characteristics	Symbols	Test Conditions	Limits		
			Min	Max	Un.
Power Supply Current	lcc	Vcc+ = 30V, Vcc- = Gnd		3	mA
Input Bias Current	±lib	Vcc+ = 30V, Vcc- = Gnd, Vcm = +15V	-75	+0.1	nA
Input Offset Current	lio	Vcc+ = 30V, Vcc- = Gnd, Vcm = +15V	-15	15	nA
Input Offset Voltage	Vio	Vcc+ = 30V, Vcc- = Gnd, Vcm = 15V	-2	2	mV
Common Mode Rejection Ratio	CMRR		76		dB
Power Supply Rejection Ratio	PSRR	Vcc- = Gnd, Vcm = +1.4V, 5V ≤ Vcc ≤ 30V	-100	100	uV/V
Voltage Gain	Avs	Vcc+ = 30V, Vcc- = Gnd, 1V ≤ Vo ≤ 26V, Rl = 10K Ohms	40		V/mV
Slew Rate: Rise	+S _R	VCC+ = 30V, VCC- = Gnd	0.1		V/uS
Slew Rate: Fall	-S _R	VCC+ = 30V, VCC- = Gnd	0.1		V/uS
Maximum Output Voltage Swing	+V _{OP}	VCC+ = 30V, VCC- = Gnd, VO = +30V, RL = 10KΩ	27		V

Table 3-6 – List of electrical parameters to be measured for component D

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	^{EE} Type	Final Project Report
	Date	15-12-2017
	Page	15/126





3.2.5 Component E

Component E is a shunt voltage reference manufactured by Texas Instruments with reference LM4050. This component was irradiated unbiased with all leads tied to ground.

The parameters listed in Table 3-7 were measured prior to irradiation, in between radiation steps, after radiation finishes and during the annealing phase.

Characteristics	Symbols	Test Conditions	Limits – Maximum tolerance (from nominal 5.0V)			ance)
			0 krad	30 krad	50 krad	100krad
Reference Voltage	V _R	I _R =74 μΑ	±5.0 mV			
		I _R =100 μΑ	±5.0 mV			
		I _R =1 mA	±8 mV	+0.42%	+0.67%	+1.75%
		I _R =10 mA	±18 mV			
		I _R =15 mA	±20 mV			

3.3 Radiation Test Facilities

The components were irradiated using a Cobalt-60 radioactive source with Low Dose Rate (LDR) and High Dose Rate (HDR) and electron beams at two different energies (12 and 20 MeV) with HDR up to a Level of Interest dose of 100 kRad (H_2O).

The dosimetry system of the facilities provided information about the absolute dose of the device under test with a resolution better than 10% and with dose rate of the Cobalt-60 sources known up to a 5% level or better.

The beam size allowed to irradiate all the 3 carrier boards at the same time in all irradiation campaigns.

3.3.1 Co1 – Co-60 irradiation at LDR

The Co-60 irradiation at LDR (Co1) comprised the irradiation of all the five type of components using a Co-60 source at LDR of ~280 rad/hour. The Cobalt-60 radioactive source available at the ESA-ESTEC facilities premises was used in Co1. Due to an overlap with another experiment the irradiation steps

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 16/126





were not 20 kRad but 23.73 kRad, 20.42 kRad, 24.11 kRad, 26.07 kRad and 19.37 kRad in that order up to a total 113.7 kRad. All doses are given in water.

3.3.2 Co2 – Co-60 irradiation at HDR

The Co-60 irradiation at HDR (Co2) comprised the irradiation of all the five type of components using a Co-60 source at a High Dose Rate (24 kRad/hour) in 20 kRad steps up to 100kRad (all doses in water). The HDR testing was performed in CTN-IST (Campus Tecnológico e Nuclear – Instituto Superior Técnico), a facility that provides dose rates compatible with what was required and with a dosimetry system of the facility that provides information about the absolute dose of the device under test with a resolution better than 10%.

3.3.3 Eb1 – Irradiation with electrons of energy 12 MeV at HSM

The Eb1 irradiation comprises the irradiation of all the five type of components using an electron beam at an energy of E1=12 MeV at HDR (Eb1).

The testing was performed at Hospital Santa Maria radiotherapy unit in Lisbon, Portugal. Hospital Santa Maria is a teaching hospital of the Medical School of Lisbon University. The unit also performs research in physics having staff with PhDs in Physics.

The irradiation was performed during the weekend when patient treatments are suspended, with a dose rate of the order of 21.6 kRad/hour in 18 kRad steps up to 90kRad.

The dosimetry system of the facility provided information about the absolute dose of the device under test with a resolution better than 10%. Since the dose was measured in water at maximum peak which is about 2.6cm under the water surface (see Figure 3-3), a factor of 0.90 had to be applied to the measured value to achieve the dose (in water) at the surface of the components.

3.3.4 Eb2 – Irradiation with electrons of energy 12 MeV at RADEF

The Eb2 irradiation comprises the irradiation of a limited set of components using an electron beam at an energy of E1=12 MeV at HDR.

The testing was performed at RADEF with a dose rate of the order of 21.6 kRad/hour in 18 kRad steps up to 90 kRad.

The dosimetry system of the facility provided information about the absolute dose of the device under test with a resolution better than 10%. Since the dose was measured in water at maximum peak which is about 2.6cm under the water surface (see Figure 3-4), a factor of 0.90 had to be applied to the measured value in order to achieve the dose (in water) at the surface of the components.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date	Final Project Report 15-12-2017
	Page	17/126







Figure 3-3 12 MeV Electron dose profile in water at a given depth in the HSM facilities.



Figure 3-4 12 MeV Electron dose profile in water at a given depth in the RADEF facilities.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 18/126





3.3.5 Eb3 – Irradiation with electrons of energy 20 MeV at RADEF

The Eb3 irradiation comprises the irradiation of a limited set of components using an electron beam at an energy of E2=20 MeV at HDR.

The testing was performed at RADEF with a dose rate of the order of 22.8 kRad/hour in 19 kRad steps up to 95kRad.

The dosimetry system of the facility provided information about the absolute dose of the device under test with a resolution better than 10%. Since the dose was measured in water at maximum peak which is about 1.6cm under the water surface (see Figure 3-5), a factor of 0.95 had to be applied to the measured value to achieve the dose (in water) at the surface of the components.



Figure 3-5 20 MeV Electron dose profile in water at a given depth in the RADEF facilities.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date	Final Project Report 15-12-2017
	Page	19/126





4 Test Plan

4.1 **Pre-Irradiation Tests**

The development of the readout system took place during the Pre-Irradiation phase which ended with the acquisition of the reference data for the components for comparison with the irradiation and annealing phase data.

4.2 Irradiation Plan

In the test plan it was foreseen the irradiation of the test components in several conditions. Due to component availability, the number of samples used in Eb2 and Eb3 was limited. Table 4-1 summarizes the number of samples used in all irradiation campaigns.

Component	Co1	Co2	Eb1	Eb2	Eb3
А	5	5	5	0	5
В	5	5	5	0	0
С	5	5	5	2	0
D	5	5	5	5	5
E	5	5	5	5	5

Table 4-1 Number of samples per component used in each irradiation campaign.

The samples were irradiated in carrier boards. Each carrier board could contain up to 5 samples of a component type to be irradiated in a specific condition. Three types of carrier boards were available:

- i) carrier board with 5 samples of component A (Power Carrier)
- ii) carrier board with 5 samples of component B (Memory Carrier)
- iii) carrier board with 5 samples of component C, 5 samples of component D and 5 samples of component D (Generic Carrier)

The carrier boards allow two modes of operation: Measurement and irradiation. In the irradiation mode the samples were biased according to section 3.2. In the measurement mode the carrier boards couple to the measuring system so that the measurements can be done accordingly to section 3.2.

As reference, there was also an extra carrier board of each type that was not irradiated and was measured at several points for comparison with irradiated samples.

Since the duration of the tests on memories was larger than the others, the memories were irradiated simultaneously or interleaved with the other ones.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 20/126





4.3 Annealing Plan

After the irradiation the parts entered an annealing phase to study the response in time after irradiation.

Samples irradiated using Co-60 at LDR (Co1) and HDR (Co2, Eb1, Eb2 and Eb3) have been submitted to an annealing phase of 8 months at room temperature. All components were unbiased during this phase.

5 Test Setup Description

The test setup used is based on SMU units from Keithley (2636B – generic SMU and 2651a– Power SMU). The units are combined and provide a total of three channels. The Master Unit digital interface is used to control a switch board developed specifically for the system.

The switch board, shown in Figure 5-1, was developed at LIP to automatize part of the measurements. A conceptual scheme of the switch board is presented in Figure 5-2. The generic carrier board can be connected in one of two connectors, giving access to five OpAmp chips or to five shunt plus five transistor chips. Ports are also available for the connection to the memory carrier (to measure current) and to the Power Carrier. Two SMU channels are connected to the board (SMUA, SMUB) and are connected to the different measurement points via a set of relays. The relays are bi-stable to allow to perform the measurements without power applied to the coil. To minimize the number of relays the commuting between chips is realized by means of a cable.

The channel from the Power SMU was connected directly to the Power Carrier. Due to the potential high currents involved, the cable used had an increased section and the remote sensing mode of the 2651a unit was used.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 21/126







Figure 5-1: Switch Board



Figure 5-2 Conceptual scheme of the Switch Board

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 22/126
---	----------------------------------	---





The switch board also carries the auxiliary circuitry for the measurement of the OpAmp parameters. Two auxiliary circuits (with some control relays) are present in the board and their schemes are shown in Figure 5-3 and Figure 5-4.



Figure 5-3 OpAmp measurement circuit



Figure 5-4 Opamp test circuit for Slew Rate measurement

The test setup of component B – the NAND-FLASH memories – includes a SOC development board from ARROW/ALTERA based on a Cyclone V to interface the memory and perform the functional

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 23/126





tests. This setup is shown in Figure 5-5 with a memory carrier board connected. A LINUX system is implemented in the SOC that runs the software that control the firmware on the FPGA part which in turn is responsible for the communication with the NAND-FLASH memory. The interaction with the test system is realized by network.



Figure 5-5: Memory test system (left) with a memory carrier connected (right)

ECo60-JUICE		Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	EEE	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 24/126





6 Chip serialization

6.1 Component A – STRH100N10

		Board		Board	Chip	Serial on
ID	UUID	type	Chip Type	Number	Number	chip
A-01	Eb1-A-01	РС	А	P1	T1	086
A-02	Eb1-A-02	PC	А	P1	Т2	087
A-03	Eb1-A-03	РС	А	P1	Т3	088
A-04	Eb1-A-04	РС	А	P1	Т4	089
A-05	Eb1-A-05	PC	А	P1	Т5	090
A-06	Ref-A-06	РС	А	P2	T1	092
A-07	Ref-A-07	PC	А	P2	T2	093
A-08	Ref-A-08	РС	А	P2	Т3	095
A-09	Ref-A-09	РС	А	P2	T4	096
A-10	Ref-A-10	РС	А	P2	T5	097
A-11	Co2-A-11	РС	А	Р3	T1	098
A-12	Co2-A-12	РС	А	Р3	T2	099
A-13	Co2-A-13	PC	А	Р3	Т3	101
A-14	Co2-A-14	РС	А	Р3	T4	102
A-15	Co2-A-15	РС	А	Р3	Т5	103
A-16	Co1-A-16	PC	А	P4	T1	104
A-17	Co1-A-17	РС	А	P4	T2	105
A-18	Co1-A-18	РС	А	P4	Т3	106
A-19	Co1-A-19	PC	А	P4	Т4	107
A-20	Co1-A-20	РС	А	P4	T5	108
A-21	Eb3-A-21	РС	А	P6	T1	109
A-22	Eb3-A-22	РС	А	P6	T2	110
A-23	Eb3-A-23	РС	А	P6	Т3	111
A-24	Eb3-A-24	РС	А	P6	T4	112
A-25	Eb3-A-25	PC	А	P6	Т5	113

ECo60-JUICE		
	- 6	~

Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment

Document Type

TypeFirDate15Page25

Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 25/126





6.2 Component B - Memory

		Board	Chip	Board	Chip	Serial on	Internal UUID
ID	UUID	type	Туре	Number	Number	chip	
B-01	Eb1-B-01	МС	В	M1	B1	01	8205697578f86010ff00ff00ff00ff007df a968a87079fef00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-02	Eb1-B-02	MC	В	M1	B2	02	8205e99370f96010ff00ff00ff00ff007df a166c8f069fef00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-03	Eb1-B-03	MC	В	M1	B3	03	8205e910b0f96030ff00ff00ff00ff007df a16ef4f069fcf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-04	Eb1-B-04	MC	В	M1	B4	04	8205e915f1f86070ff00ff00ff00ff007dfa 16ea0e079f8f00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-05	Eb1-B-05	MC	В	M1	B5	05	8205e95530f86010ff00ff00ff00ff007df a16aacf079fef00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-06	Co1-B-06	MC	В	M2	B1	06	8205e9b458f96010ff00ff00ff00ff007df a164ba7069fef00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-07	Co1-B-07	MC	В	M2	B2	07	8205e93010f96010ff00ff00ff00ff007df a16cfef069fef00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-08	Co1-B-08	MC	В	M2	B3	08	8205e9d5a0f86030ff00ff00ff00ff007df a162a5f079fcf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-09	Co1-B-09	MC	В	M2	B4	09	8205e930b0f96010ff00ff00ff00ff007df a16cf4f069fef00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-10	Co1-B-10	МС	В	M2	B5	10	8205e975a0f86030ff00ff00ff00ff007df a168a5f079fcf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-11	B-11	MC	В	M3	B1	11	NA
B-12	B-12	МС	В	M3	B2	12	8205697410f96030ff00ff00ff00ff007df a968bef069fcf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-13	B-13	МС	В	M3	В3	13	8205e99360f96050ff00ff00ff00ff007df a166c9f069faf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-14	B-14	МС	В	M3	B4	14	820569b188f86030ff00ff00ff00ff007df a964e77079fcf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-15	B-15	МС	В	M3	В5	15	8205e9d590f86030ff00ff00ff00ff007df a162a6f079fcf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-16	Co1-B-16	MC	В	M4	B1	16	82056953d8f86030ff00ff00ff00ff007df a96ac27079fcf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-17	Co1-B-17	MC	В	M4	B2	17	8205e93088f96050ff00ff00ff00ff007df a16cf77069faf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-18	Co1-B-18	MC	В	M4	B3	18	820569b460f86030ff00ff00ff00ff007df a964b9f079fcf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-19	Co1-B-19	MC	В	M4	B4	19	8205e97520f86070ff00ff00ff00ff007df a168adf079f8f00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-20	Co1-B-20	MC	В	M4	B5	20	8205e9b488f96050ff00ff00ff00ff007df a164b77069faf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-21	Ref-B-21	MC	В	M5	B1	21	82056953e8f86030ff00ff00ff00ff007df a96ac17079fcf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-22	Ref-B-22	MC	В	M5	B2	22	8205e970b8f86030ff00ff00ff00ff007df a168f47079fcf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-23	Ref-B-23	MC	В	M5	B3	23	8205e93050f96050ff00ff00ff00ff007df a16cfaf069faf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-24	Ref-B-24	MC	В	M5	B4	24	8205e97008f96030ff00ff00ff00ff007df a168ff7069fcf00ff00ff00ff00ff
B-25	Ref-B-25	MC	В	M5	B5	25	8205697518f96050ff00ff00ff00ff007df a968ae7069faf00ff00ff00ff00ff

ECo60-JUICE

Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment

Document Type

Date

Page

Fin 15-

Final Project Report 15-12-2017 26/126

Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1





6.3 Component C – 2N2222

		Board		Board	Chip	
ID	UUID	type	Chip Type	Number	Number	Serial on chip
C-01	Eb1-C-01	GC	С	P1	T1	42
C-02	Eb1-C-02	GC	С	P1	Т2	43
C-03	Eb1-C-03	GC	С	P1	Т3	44
C-04	Eb1-C-04	GC	С	P1	Т4	45
C-05	Eb1-C-05	GC	С	P1	Т5	46
C-06	Eb2-C-06	GC	С	P2	T1	094
C-07	Eb2-C-07	GC	С	P2	T2	095
C-08	Eb2-C-08	GC	С	P2	Т3	096
C-09	Eb2-C-09	GC	С	P2	T4	097
C-26	Eb2-C-26	GC	С	P2	T5	
C-11	Co1-C-11	GC	С	Р3	T1	089
C-12	Co1-C-12	GC	С	Р3	T2	090
C-13	Co1-C-13	GC	С	Р3	Т3	091
C-14	Co1-C-14	GC	С	Р3	T4	092
C-15	Co1-C-15	GC	С	Р3	T5	093
C-16	Ref-C-16	GC	С	P4	T1	47
C-17	Ref-C-17	GC	С	P4	T2	099
C-18	Ref-C-18	GC	С	P4	Т3	100
C-19	Ref-C-19	GC	С	P4	T4	101
C-20	Ref-C-20	GC	С	P4	T5	102
C-21	Co2-C-21	GC	С	Р5	T1	084
C-22	Co2-C-22	GC	С	P5	T2	085
C-23	Co2-C-23	GC	С	P5	Т3	086
C-24	Co2-C-24	GC	С	P5	T4	087
C-25	Co2-C-25	GC	С	P5	T5	088

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 27/126





6.4 Component D – LM124

		Board		Board	Chip	Serial on
ID	UUID	type	Chip Type	Number	Number	chip
D-01	Eb1-D-01	GC	D	P1	D1	26
D-02	Eb1-D-02	GC	D	P1	D2	25
D-03	Eb1-D-03	GC	D	P1	D3	24
D-04	Eb1-D-04	GC	D	P1	D4	23
D-05	Eb1-D-05	GC	D	P1	D5	27
D-06	Eb2-D-06	GC	D	P2	D1	31
D-07	Eb2-D-07	GC	D	P2	D2	30
D-08	Eb2-D-08	GC	D	P2	D3	29
D-09	Eb2-D-09	GC	D	P2	D4	28
D-10	Eb2-D-10	GC	D	P2	D5	32
D-11	Co1-D-11	GC	D	P3	D1	41
D-12	Co1-D-12	GC	D	Р3	D2	40
D-13	Co1-D-13	GC	D	Р3	D3	39
D-14	Co1-D-14	GC	D	Р3	D4	38
D-15	Co1-D-15	GC	D	Р3	D5	42
D-16	Ref-D-16	GC	D	P4	D1	36
D-17	Ref-D-17	GC	D	P4	D2	35
D-18	Ref-D-18	GC	D	P4	D3	34
D-19	Ref-D-19	GC	D	P4	D4	33
D-20	Ref-D-20	GC	D	P4	D5	37
D-21	Co2-D-21	GC	D	P5	D1	21
D-22	Co2-D-22	GC	D	P5	D2	20
D-23	Co2-D-23	GC	D	Р5	D3	19
D-24	Co2-D-24	GC	D	P5	D4	18
D-25	Co2-D-25	GC	D	P5	D5	22
D-26	Eb3-D-26	GC	D	P6	D1	
D-27	Eb3-D-27	GC	D	P6	D2	
D-28	Eb3-D-28	GC	D	P6	D3	
D-29	Eb3-D-29	GC	D	P6	D4	
D-30	Eb3-D-30	GC	D	P6	D5	

ECo60-JUICE

Verification	of	Co-60	testing	representativeness	for
components	flov	vn in the	e Jupiter	electron environmen	t

Document EEE Туре

Date

Page

28/126

Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 **Final Project Report** 15-12-2017





6.5 Component E - LM4050

		Board		Board	Chip	Serial on
ID	UUID	type	Chip Type	Number	Number	chip
E-01	Eb1-E-01	GC	E	P1	E1	01
E-02	Eb1-E-02	GC	E	P1	E2	02
E-03	Eb1-E-03	GC	E	P1	E3	03
E-04	Eb1-E-04	GC	E	P1	E4	04
E-05	Eb1-E-05	GC	E	P1	E5	05
E-06	Eb2-E-06	GC	E	P2	E1	06
E-07	Eb2-E-07	GC	E	P2	E2	07
E-08	Eb2-E-08	GC	E	P2	E3	08
E-09	Eb2-E-09	GC	E	P2	E4	09
E-10	Eb2-E-10	GC	E	P2	E5	10
E-11	Co1-E-11	GC	E	Р3	E1	11
E-12	Co1-E-12	GC	E	Р3	E2	12
E-13	Co1-E-13	GC	E	Р3	E3	13
E-14	Co1-E-14	GC	E	Р3	E4	14
E-15	Co1-E-15	GC	E	Р3	E5	15
E-16	Ref-E-16	GC	E	P4	E1	16
E-17	Ref-E-17	GC	E	P4	E2	17
E-18	Ref-E-18	GC	E	P4	E3	18
E-19	Ref-E-19	GC	E	P4	E4	19
E-20	Ref-E-20	GC	E	P4	E5	20
E-21	Co2-E-21	GC	E	P5	E1	21
E-22	Co2-E-22	GC	E	P5	E2	22
E-23	Co2-E-23	GC	E	P5	E3	23
E-24	Co2-E-24	GC	E	P5	E4	24
E-25	Co2-E-25	GC	E	P5	E5	25
E-26	Eb3-E-26	GC	E	P6	E1	
E-27	Eb3-E-27	GC	E	P6	E2	
E-28	Eb3-E-28	GC	E	P6	E3	
E-29	Eb3-E-29	GC	E	P6	E4	
E-30	Eb3-E-30	GC	E	P6	E5	

Board Type:

PC – Power Carrier

MC – Memory Carrier

GC – Generic Carrier

Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment

Document

TypeFiDate15Page29

Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 29/126





6.6 Carrier Boards

The electronic parts are mounted in three different carrier boards: Power Carrier; Memory Carrier; Generic Carrier.

6.6.1 Power Carrier board

The Power Carrier board accommodates 5 samples of component A (STRH100N10 - Power MOSFET). The component bodies sit on a 5 cm x 5 cm area, the irradiation area where the irradiation level is to be made homogeneous. Each sample is connected to a 3-pin connector. In Figure 6-1, the five power carrier boards are shown assembled.



Figure 6-1: Power Carrier Board

6.6.2 Memory Carrier Board

The Memory Carrier board accommodates 5 samples of component B (MT29F32G08ABAAAWP-ITZ – NAND-FLASH memory). The component bodies sit on a 5 cm x 5 cm area, the irradiation area where the irradiation level is to be made homogeneous. The memory I/Os are connected to HSMC connectors. Power supply can be provided by the HSMC for regular testing; from individual connectors for current supply measurements; from a global connector for irradiation tests. The

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 30/126
---	----------------------------------	---





source for each component can be selected individually by means of a jumper. In Figure 6-2 five memory carrier boards are shown (connectors are on the bottom side).



Figure 6-2: Memory Carrier Boards

6.6.3 Generic Carrier board

The Generic Carrier board accommodates 5 samples of component C (2N2222 - transistor), 5 samples of component D (LM124 - OpAmps) and 5 samples of component E (LM4050 – shunt regulators). The component bodies sit on a 5cm x 5 cm area, the irradiation area where the irradiation level is to be made homogeneous. The pins of all LM124 are routed to one connector. The pins of LM124 and LM4050 are routed to another connector (independently). In Figure 6-3 five generic carrier boards are shown (connectors are on the bottom side).

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date	Final Project Report 15-12-2017
	Page	31/126







Figure 6-3: Generic Carrier boards.

Measuring Protocol 7

Irradiated boards were measured before the first irradiation and between irradiations as well as afterwards according to the annealing plan. Reference boards were measured at several points for comparison with the irradiated samples.

Each component is measured independently.

7.1 **Component A – STRH100N10**

Every serialized MOSFET was tested with the following procedure:

- Loop on all units: •
 - Input cable introduced in the corresponding slot; 0
 - Run script MOSFET.tsp: 0
 - Sets path from SMUs to MOSFET;
 - Loop on all measurements:
 - Define measurement parameters;
 - Measure 10x (averaged);
 - Record parameters and measurement values;
 - Average the results over the 10 measurements;

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE	Туре	Final Project Report
components nown in the Jupiter electron environment	Date	15-12-2017
	Page	32/126





7.2 Component B – Memory

Every serialized memory was tested and initialized. The test procedure was as follows:

- The 16 copies of the Unique ID of the memory is read, checked and saved on the output file;
- All partitions are erased;
- The test patterns are written in the partitions;
- The test patterns are read and checked;

In every operation the response of the memory is checked for success. If an error occurs the control state machine and the memory are reset and the operation is retried. Such retry is done three times after which an error in the operation is assumed. Also, the test pattern read in the final step is also checked to the assigned test pattern to the partition being read. If an error is detected it is written on the output file with its location. Bad blocks are still read.

A log file is written with greater level of information about the operations made, including information about retrials.

7.3 Component C – 2N2222

•

Every serialized BJT was tested with the following procedure:

- Input corresponding board to switch board
 - Run script BJT_AND_SHUNT.tsp:
 - Loop on all components:
 - Sets path from SMUs to BJT
 - Input the BJT/Shunt connector into the corresponding position
 - Loop on all measurements (all but hFe):
 - Define measurement parameters
 - Measure 10x (averaged)
 - Record parameters and measurement values
- Connect cable with $0.511k\Omega$ resistance to the BJT base;
- Run script hFe.tsp:
 - Loop on all components:
 - Sets path from SMUs to BJT;
 - Input the BJT/Shunt connector into the corresponding position;
 - Define measurement parameters;
 - Measure full range;
 - Record parameters and measurement values

The tests had to be divided into two steps since for the last one a resistance was required to stabilize the circuit. This adds up to the measuring time since the process is not automatized though the difference is negligible since the first set of tests is very fast.

After each BJT, a Shunt is also tested since each connector sets the path to a BJT/Shunt pair diminishing the number of iterations with the connector

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Туре	Final Project Report
	Date	15-12-2017
	Page	33/126





7.4 Component D – LM124

Every serialized AMPOP was tested. The procedure was divided into two stages:

• Input corresponding board to switch board

1st stage

0

• Runscript AMPOPS.tsp;

.

- Input AMPOP connector into the corresponding position;
 - Loop on all measurements:
 - Sets correct path from SMU to AMPOP to each specific measurement;
 - Define measurement parameters;
 - Measure;
 - Record parameters and measurement values;

2nd stage

- Set supply voltage to +30/+0;
- Connect a Pulse Generator (square wave 5 to 15V, 1kHz) and Oscilloscope to the switch board;
- Loop on all AMPOP chips:
 - Input AMPOP connector into the corresponding position;
 - Run slewrate.py on the computer:
 - Loop on the all AMPOPs in the chip:
 - Set path to the Slew Rate circuit;
 - Set Oscilloscope Mode to Rise;
 - \circ Measure Δt between 7.5 and 12.5 (SR+) with oscilloscope;
 - Record measurement values;
 - Set Oscilloscope Mode to Fall;
 - \circ Measure Δt between 12.5 and 7.5 (SR-) with oscilloscope;
 - Record measurement values;

7.5 Component E – LM4050

Every serialized Shunt was tested after its correspondent BJT pair since the connector position serves two at each time. The procedure is similar to the one described for the BJT AND SHUNT.tsp script with circuit path going to the Shunt instead of the BJT.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Туре	Final Project Report
	Date	15-12-2017
	Page	34/126





8 Irradiation Test Results

Results for each component and variable of interest are analysed individually. Since we are only interested in the comparing data between different irradiation conditions, a chart with the variation along irradiation steps for each campaign is shown for each case (Figure 8-2 to Figure 8-37). For reference, the absolute values for each campaign are also presented alongside the first chart. Figure 8-1 offers comments on an example taken from the Shunt Voltage Reference results to be used as guideline to read the other charts. All error bars correspond to one standard deviation.



Figure 8-1 Example to be used as guideline to analyse the results.

ECo60-JUICE Document Eco Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE Type Final components flown in the Jupiter electron environment Date 15-2 Page 35/	660-LIP-FR-v2.1 al Project Report 12-2017 '126
--	---





8.1 Component A – STRH100N10

Results for each component and variable of interest are analysed individually. Since we are only interested in the comparing data between different irradiation conditions, a chart with the variation along irradiation steps for each campaign is shown for each case (Figure 8-2 to Figure 8-37). For reference, the absolute values for each campaign are also presented alongside the first chart. All error bars correspond to one standard deviation (1 RMS assuming a Normal/Gaussian distribution). Component A was included in campaign Eb2.

Characteristics	Test Conditions	Status
Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 1	VGS=20V, VDS=0V	No apparent degradation
Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 2	VGS=-20V, VDS=0V	No apparent degradation
Drain Current	VDS=40V, VGS=0V	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and gammas
Gate-to-Source Threshold Voltage	VDS≥VGS, ID=1mA	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and gammas
Static Drain-to-Source On Resistance	VGS=12V, ID=24A	No apparent degradation
Source-to-Drain Diode Forward Voltage	VGS=0V, ISD=48A	No apparent degradation

Table 8-1 Component A parameter irradiation status.

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	DocumentEco60-LIP-FR-v2.1TypeFinal Project ReportDate15-12-2017Page36/126




8.1.1 Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 1



Figure 8-2 Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 1 variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.









Figure 8-3 Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 2 variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE Documer Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE Type components flown in the Jupiter electron environment Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 38/126
---	--





8.1.3 Drain Current



Figure 8-4 Drain Current variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 39/126





8.1.4 Gate-to-Source Threshold Voltage



Figure 8-5 Gate-to-Source Threshold Voltage variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 40/126







8.1.5 Static Drain-to-Source On Resistance



ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 41/126







8.1.6 Source-to-Drain Diode Forward Voltage

Figure 8-7 Source-to-Drain Diode Forward Voltage variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 42/126





8.2 Component B – Memory

Results of the functional and power supply tests measured (absolute values not drift) for component B are shown in Figure 8-8 to Figure 8-13. Partitions #1 and #5 are not shown since their results and null (no errors were found due to the nature of the tests (bits only flip from 0 to 1). All functional tests show enhanced degradation for gamma irradiations. All HDR gamma irradiated memories became unresponsive after two steps (at 40kRad), while memories from other irradiations could sustain their functions up to higher doses. LDR gamma irradiated memories had higher error count than electron irradiated memories and were unresponsive to dose levels below electron irradiated ones. A summary of the results for this component can be found in Table 8-2.

Functional Tests			
Partition #	Pattern	Type of test	Status
0	All 'O'	Static	Radiation degradation Higher degradation for gammas
1	All '1'	Static	No degradation
2	Checkerboard	Static	Radiation degradation Higher degradation for gammas
4	All '0'	Dynamic	Radiation degradation Higher degradation for gammas
5	All '1'	Dynamic	No degradation
6	Checkerboard	Dynamic	Radiation degradation Higher degradation for gammas
Power Supply tests			
	Current		Status
	Idle		Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and gammas
	Active		Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and gammas

Table 8-2 Component B parameter irradiation status

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 43/126





8.2.1 Functional Tests



Figure 8-8 Number of bit errors in partition #0. Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign and Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign.







Figure 8-9 Number of bit errors in partition #2. Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign and Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign.

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 45/126
---	----------------------------------	---







Figure 8-10 Number of bit errors in partition #4. Blue points correspond to the HDR γ CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign and Teal points to the LDR γ ESTEC campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Туре	Final Project Report
	Date	15-12-2017
	Page	46/126







Figure 8-11 Number of bit errors in partition #6. Blue points correspond to the HDR γ CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign and Teal points to the LDR γ ESTEC campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 47/126





8.2.2 Power Supply Tests



Figure 8-12 Standby current (biased). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign and Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE	Type	Final Project Report
components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Date	15-12-2017
	Page	48/126







Figure 8-13 Standby current (biased). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign and Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Туре	Final Project Report
	Date	15-12-2017
	Page	49/126





8.3 Component C – 2N2222

The results for the 8 parameters measured for component C are presented in sections 8.3.1-8.3.8. The Forward-Current Transfer Ratio in all measured regimes shows sensitivity to radiation but a similar behavior between HDR Co60 and electrons, besides ELDRS, which was expected. All other parameters showed no sensitivity to radiation. A summary of the results for this component can be found in Table 8-3.

Collector-Emitter Saturation Voltage in Eb2 (section 8.3.3) large drift after 20 krad is due to a bad first value in one of the two parts measured.

Characteristics	Test Conditions	Status
Collector-Base Cut-off Current	V _{CB} = 60V	No apparent degradation
Emitter-Base Cutoff Current	V _{EB} = 3V	No apparent degradation
Collector-Emitter Saturation Voltage	I _C = 150mA, I _B = 15mA	No apparent degradation
Base-Emitter Saturation Voltage	l _c = 150mA, l _B = 15mA	No apparent degradation
V _{CE} = 10V, I _C = 100μA	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and Co60 ELDRS	
Forward Current Transfer Datio	V _{CE} = 10V, I _C = 10mA	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and Co60 ELDRS
Forward-Current Transfer Ratio $V_{CE} = 10V, I_C =$	V _{CE} = 10V, I _C = 150mA	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and Co60 ELDRS
	V _{CE} = 10V, I _C = 500mA	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and Co60 ELDRS

Table 8-3 Component C parameter irradiation status

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE	Type	Final Project Report
components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Date Page	15-12-2017 50/126





8.3.1 Collector-Base Cut-Off Current



Figure 8-14 Collector-Base Cut-Off Current variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 51/126





8.3.2 Emitter-Base Cut-Off Current



Figure 8-15 Emitter-Base Cut-Off Current variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 52/126





8.3.3 Collector-Emitter Saturation Voltage



Figure 8-16 Collector-Emitter Saturation Voltage variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 53/126





8.3.4 Base-Emitter Saturation Voltage



Figure 8-17 Base-Emitter Saturation Voltage variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 54/126







8.3.5 Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (I_c = 100µA)



ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 55/126







8.3.6 Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (I_c = 10mA)



ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 56/126







8.3.7 Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (I_c = 150mA)



ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 57/126







8.3.8 Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (I_c = 500mA)

Figure 8-21 Forward-Current Saturation Voltage (Ic=500mA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 58/126





8.4 Component D – LM124

The results of the 11 parameters measured for component D are presented in sections 8.4.1-8.4.11. 6 parameters (Input Bias Current +, Input Bias Current –, Input Offset Voltage, Common Mode Rejection Ratio (CMRR), Power Supply Rejection Ratio (PSRR) and Slew Rate +) show sensitivity to radiation. While the Input Bias Current +, the Input Bias Current – and Common Mode Rejection Ratio (CMRR) show enhanced sensitivity to electron sources, all other parameters have similar or no sensitivity to radiation. According to [AD4] this behavior can be explained due to displacement damage by electrons, since the parameters with highest degradation observed during irradiations with electrons are the ones expected to be sensitive to TNID, unlike those for which no difference was observed in the tests (see section 10 for a more detailed discussion). A summary of the results for this component type can be found in Table 8-4.

Characteristics	Test Conditions	Status
Power Supply Current	Vcc+ = 30V, Vcc- = Gnd	No apparent degradation
Input Bias Current	Vcc+ = 30V, Vcc- = Gnd, Vcm = +15V	Radiation degradation Higher degradation for electrons
Input Offset Current	Vcc+ = 30V, Vcc- = Gnd, Vcm = +15V	No apparent degradation
Input Offset Voltage	Vcc+ = 30V, Vcc- = Gnd, Vcm = 15V	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and Co60
Common Mode Rejection Ratio	Vcc+=30V, Vcc- = Gnd, Vcm=-15V Vcc+=2V, Vcc- =-28, Vcm=-13V	Radiation degradation Higher degradation for electrons
Power Supply Rejection Ratio	Vcc- = Gnd, Vcm = +1.4V, 5V ≤ Vcc ≤ 30V	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and Co60
Voltage Gain	Vcc+ = 30V, Vcc- = Gnd, 1V ≤ Vo ≤ 26V, RI = 10K Ohms	No apparent degradation
Slew Rate: Rise	VCC+ = 30V, VCC- = Gnd	No apparent degradation
Slew Rate: Fall	VCC+ = 30V, VCC- = Gnd	No apparent degradation

Table 8-4 Component D parameter irradiation status

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Туре	Final Project Report
	Date	15-12-2017
	Page	59/126





Maximum Output	VCC+ = 30V, VCC- = Gnd,	Radiation degradation
Voltage Swing	VO = +30V, RL = 10KΩ	Similar for electrons and Co60

8.4.1 Power Supply Current



Figure 8-22 Power Supply Current variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

8.4.2 Input Bias Current +

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 60/126







Figure 8-23 Input Bias Current Plus variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

8.4.3 Input Bias Current –

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 61/126







Figure 8-24 Input Bias Current Minus variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

8.4.4 Input Current Offset

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 62/126







Figure 8-25 Input Current Offset variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

8.4.5 Input Offset Voltage

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 63/126







Figure 8-26 Input Voltage Offset variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 64/126





150 Co2-Avg 140 Ref-D-16 130 ∀ co2-D-21 120 A CMRR (dB) 110 A- Co2-D-23 ♦- Co2-D-24 100 HDR Y Co₂ - Co2-D-2 90 12 MeV e Fb1 20 150 LDR Y Eb1-Avg Δ 140 Ref-D-16 12 MeV e 130 Eb3 20 MeV e 120 Eb1-D-02 A-Eb1-D-03 110 - Eb1-D-04 100 90Ē - Eb1-D-05 15 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 150 Co1-Av 140 Ref-D-16 130 -Co1-D-11 120 - Co1-D-12 110 Co1-D-13 10 - Co1-D-14 100 90 -Co1-D-1 80 100 Ó 60 150 Eb2-Avg 140 Ref-D-16 130 - Eb2-D-06 5 120 - Eb2-D-07 110 - Eb2-D-08 100 ↔ Eb2-D-09 - Eb2-D-10 90Ē Ó 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 150p Eb3-Avg 140 0 Ref-D-16 0 숧 130 ⊖ Eb3-D-26 120 Eb3-D-27 0 20 40 60 80 100 110 A-Eb3-D-28 Dose(kRad) 100 ↔ Eb3-D-29 🕂 Eb3-D-30 90

8.4.6 Common Mode Rejection Ratio (CMRR)

Figure 8-27 Common Mode Rejection Ratio variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

Ó

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 65/126





8.4.7 Power Supply Rejection Ratio (PSRR)



Figure 8-28 Power Supply Rejection Ratio variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 66/126





8.4.8 Voltage Gain



Figure 8-29 Voltage Gain variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 67/126





28.6 • X • X Ref-D-16 28.5 - Co2-D-21 28.4 ∆ Voltage Swing (V) 8.0 × 000 28.3 A- Co2-D-23 - Co2-D-24 28.2 28.1 HDR Y d 20 40 60 80 100 Co₂ 12 MeV e Eb1 Eb1-Avg 28.6 Ref-D-16 Co1 LDR Y 28.5 -Eb1-D-01 12 MeV e 28.4 Eb1-D-02 Eb3 A-Еb1-D-03 28.3 20 MeV e - Eb1-D-04 28.2 + Eb1-D-05 28.1 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 Co1-Avg 28.6 0.2 Ref-D-16 28.5 Co1-D-11 28.4 -Co1-D-12 28.3 Co1-D-13 - Co1-D-14 0.1 28.2 - Co1-D-15 28.1^E0 60 40 80 100 20 Eb2-Avg 28.6 Ref-D-16 0 28.5 - Eb2-D-06 28.4 - Eb2-D-07 28.3 - Eb2-D-08 - Eb2-D-09 28.2 - Eb2-D-10 -0.1 28.1 Ó 10 20 30 40 Eb3-Avg 28.6 Ref-D-16 28.5 → Eb3-D-26 -0.2 28.4 Eb3-D-27 0 20 40 60 80 100 A-Eb3-D-28 28.3 Dose(kRad) 28.2 V 🔮 V **V 2** + Eb3-D-3 28.1^E 1

8.4.9 Maximum Output Voltage Swing

Figure 8-30 Maximum Output Voltage Swing variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 68/126





8.4.10 Slew Rate +



Figure 8-31 Slew Rate Plus variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 69/126





8.4.11 Slew Rate -



Figure 8-32 Slew Rate Minus variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 70/126





8.5 Component E – LM4050

The results for the 5 parameters measured for component E are presented in sections 8.5.1-8.5.5. All parameters show sensitivity to radiation although it the sensitivity is more pronounced for regimes with higher bias current. Parameter variation with TID is comparable for all radiation types - for Co-60 and electrons at similar dose rates -, displaying ELDRS, which was expected. A summary of the results for this component can be found in Table 8-5.

Characteristics	Test Conditions	Status
Reference Voltage	I _R =74 μΑ	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and Co60 ELDRS
	I _R =100 μΑ	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and Co60 ELDRS
	I _R =1 mA	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and Co60 ELDRS
	I _R =10 mA	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and Co60 ELDRS
	I _R =15 mA	Radiation degradation Similar for electrons and Co60 ELDRS

Table 8-5 Component E parameter irradiation status

ECo60-JUICE Docum Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE Type components flown in the Jupiter electron environment Date Page





8.5.1 Reference Voltage (I_R=74µA)



Figure 8-33 Reference Voltage (Ir=74μA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR γ CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR γ ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 72/126
---	----------------------------------	---




8.5.2 Reference Voltage (I_R=100µA)



Figure 8-34 Reference Voltage (Ir=100 μ A) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 73/126





8.5.3 Reference Voltage (I_R=1mA)



Figure 8-35 Reference Voltage (Ir=1mA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 74/126





8.5.4 Reference Voltage (I_R=10mA)



Figure 8-36 Reference Voltage (Ir=10mA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 75/126





8.5.5 Reference Voltage (I_R=15mA)



Figure 8-37 Reference Voltage (Ir=15mA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	E Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 76/126





9 Annealing Results

After irradiation, components were measured on a weekly basis for the first month and on monthly basis for seven months. Results are shown in sections 9.1-9.5, one for each component. Components were unbiased for the whole annealing process.

9.1 Component A – STH100N10

Results for the 6 parameters measured for component a are presented in sections 9.1.1-9.1.6. No annealing effect was observed.



9.1.1 Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 1

Figure 9-1 Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 1 variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 77/126





9.1.2 Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 2



Figure 9-2 Gate-to-Source Leakage Current 2 variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 78/126





9.1.3 Drain Current



Figure 9-3 Drain Current variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 79/126





9.1.4 Gate-to-Source Threshold



Figure 9-4 Gate-to-Source Threshold Voltage variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 80/126





9.1.5 Static Drain-to-Source On Resistance



Figure 9-5 Drain-to-Source On-Resistance variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 81/126
---	----------------------------------	---







9.1.6 Source-to-Drain Diode Forward Voltage

Figure 9-6 Source-to-Drain Diode Forward Voltage variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
	Type Date	Final Project Report 15-12-2017
	Page	82/126





9.2 Component B – Memories

Since memories were unresponsive no annealing was performed on them. After the last annealing measurements from all other components they were checked for responsiveness, but no recovery had taken place.

9.3 Component C – 2N2222

Component C results are shown in sections 9.3.1-9.3.8. No significant annealing effect was observed.



9.3.1 Collector-Base Cut-Off Current

Figure 9-7 Collector-Base Cut-Off Current variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 83/126





9.3.2 Emitter-Base Cut-Off Current



Figure 9-8 Emitter-Base Cut-Off Current variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 84/126





- Co2-Avg 0.5 • Ref-C-16 0.45 0.4 ∆ Collector-Emitter Saturation Voltage (V) 10 0 0 0 - Co2-C-22 0.35 🛧 Co2-C-23 0.3 + Co2-C-24 0.25 X - Co2-C-25 0.2 + Eb1-Avg 0.5 + Ref-C-16 0.45 0.4 + Eb1-C-02 0.35 📥 Eb1-C-03 0.3 + Eb1-C-04 0.25 → Eb1-C-05 0.2 Co1-Avg 0.5 Ref-C-16 0.45 - Co1-C-11 - Co1-C-12 0.4 0.35 - Co1-C-13 -0.15 0.3 -Co1-C-14 0.25 - Co1-C-15 0.2 -0.2 0.5 Eb2-Avg 0.45 0.4 0.35 Ref-C-16 -0.25 -Eb2-C-09 0.3 0.25 Eb2-C-26 0.2 -0.3 Month 1 Month 3 Month 5 0 kRad 100 kRad Week 1 Month 2 Month 4 Month 6 Month 7 Week 1 Week 2 Week 3 Month 1 Month 7 0 kRad **Month 2** Month 3 Month 4 **Month 5** Month 6 00 kRad

9.3.3 Collector-Emitter Saturation Voltage

Figure 9-9 Collector-Emitter Saturation Voltage variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 85/126





9.3.4 Base-Emitter Saturation Voltage



Figure 9-10 Base-Emitter Saturation Voltage (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR γ CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR γ ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 86/126
---	----------------------------------	---





- Co2-Avg 250 200 150 100 50 0 -50 -100 • Ref-C-16 - Co2-C-21 Δ Forward-Current Saturation Voltage at 100μA (V) 0 2000 - Co2-C-22 🛧 Co2-C-23 + Co2-C-24 200 - Co2-C-25 + Eb1-Avg 250 200 150 100 50 0 -50 -100 + + Ref-C-16 100 + Eb1-C-02 X 📥 Eb1-C-03 0 250 200 150 100 50 Co1-Avg Ref-C-16 - Co1-C-11 - Co1-C-12 100 - Co1-C-13 0 - Co1-C-14 -50 -100 - Co1-C-15 -200 250 200 150 100 50 0 -50 -100 Eb2-Avg Ref-C-16 Eb2-C-09 -300 Eb2-C-26 Month 6 100 kRad Week 1 Month 2 Month 1 Month 3 Month 4 Month 5 Month 7 Week 1 Week 2 Week 3 Month 1 Month 7 0 kRad Month 2 Month 3 Month 4 **Month 5** Month 6 00 kRad

9.3.5 Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (Ic=100µA)

Figure 9-11 Forward-Current Saturation Voltage (Ic=100μA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 87/126







9.3.6 Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (Ic=10mA)

Figure 9-12 Forward-Current Saturation Voltage (Ic=10mA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 88/126





9.3.7 Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (Ic=100mA)



Figure 9-13 Forward-Current Saturation Voltage (Ic=150mA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 89/126







9.3.8 Forward-Current Transfer Ratio (Ic=500mA)

Figure 9-14 Forward-Current Saturation Voltage (Ic=500mA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 90/126
---	----------------------------------	---





9.4 Component D – LM124

Annealing results for component D are presented in sections 9.4.1-9.4.11. No annealing effects were observed.

9.4.1 Power Supply Current



Figure 9-15 Power Supply Current variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 91/126





9.4.2 Input Bias Current -



Figure 9-16 Input Bias Current Minus (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 92/126





9.4.3 Input Bias Current +





ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 93/126





9.4.4 Input Current Offset





ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 94/126





9.4.5 Input Voltage Offset





ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 95/126





9.4.6 Common Mode Rejection Ratio





ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 96/126
---	----------------------------------	---





9.4.7 Power Supply Rejection Ratio





ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 97/126





9.4.8 Voltage Gain



Figure 9-22 Voltage Gain variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 98/126





9.4.9 Maximum Output Voltage Swing





ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 99/126





9.4.10 Slew Rate +





ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 100/126





9.4.11 Slew Rate -





ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 101/126





9.5 Component E – LM4050

Component E annealing results can b seen in sections 9.5.1-9.5.5. No annealing effects were observed.

9.5.1 Reference Voltage (I_R=74µA)



Figure 9-26 Reference Voltage (Ir=74μA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE	Documen	t Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for El components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	E Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 102/126





9.5.2 Reference Voltage (I_R=100µA)





ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 103/126





9.5.3 Reference Voltage (I_R=1mA)



Figure 9-28 Reference Voltage (Ir=1mA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e⁻ HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 104/126





9.5.4 Reference Voltage (I_R=10mA)



Figure 9-29 Reference Voltage (Ir=10mA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 105/126





9.5.5 Reference Voltage (I_R=15mA)



Figure 9-30 Reference Voltage (Ir=15mA) variation (left side) and absolute values (right side). Blue points correspond to the HDR y CTN campaign, Red points to the 12 MeV e^- HDR Hospital campaign, Teal points to the LDR y ESTEC campaign and Light Red points to the 12 MeV HDR RADEF campaign and Dark Red points to the 20 MeV HDR RADEF campaign.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 106/126





10 Displacement Damage to LM124 from Electrons

In section 8.4 it was pointed out that, for the same TID, some parameters of LM124 drifted at a higher rate when subjected to 12 and 20 MeV electron beams than when subjected to Co60 gammas. To understand if this behavior is due to ionizing processes or to Displacement Damage (DD) the results from this study are compared with the ones presented in [AD4]. The later tests Displacement Damage of Linear Devices such as LM124 with different 50 MeV proton fluences, 1E11, 2E11, 3.5E11 and 5E11 p/cm² (referred in this work as DD_1, DD_2, DD3 and DD_4 respectively), complemented with Co60 irradiation to reach TID of 100 krad. A TID only campaign with the components unbiased (referred as DD_0) was also performed which allowed to directly compare with our results.

Since in [AD4] the displacement damage is given as a 50MeV proton fluence, the same quantity must be calculated for the two cases of interest (12 MeV and 20 MeV electrons). For any particle of interest, TID is related to the particle fluence ($P_{fluence}$) by:

$$TID = \frac{P_{fluence} * dE/dx * \frac{\rho}{th}}{m}$$

Where dE/dx is the stopping power of the particle in the material, ρ is the density of the material and *th* and *m* are the thickness and mass of the target respectively. For a given TID we can then calculate the fluence by inverting the previous formula:

$$P_{fluence} = \frac{TID}{dE/dx} * \frac{m * th}{\rho}$$

The last term was isolated because neither m nor th are known. ρ is introduced for convenience as it will be clear later. This term can be obtained since we know from[AD4], that a 50 MeV proton fluence of 1E+11 p/cm² corresponds to a TID of 16 krad.

Finally, to convert the particle fluence to a 50 MeV proton equivalent fluence we need to ponder the Non-Ionizing Energy Loss (NIEL) magnitudes of the particle of interest (12 and 20 MeV electrons) and of 50 MeV electrons. The equivalent fluence can then be calculated as:

$$Eq.Fluence (p \ 50 \ MeV) = \frac{TID}{dE/dx} * \frac{m * th}{\rho} * \frac{NIEL (particle \ of \ interest)}{NIEL (p \ 50 \ MeV)}$$

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 107/126





For the 12 MeV (TID = 90krad) and 20 MeV (TID = 95 krad) electron irradiations, the eq. fluence is 2.61E+10 and 2.93E+10 p/cm² respectively. Though these values are small when compared to the ones in [AD4], below 30% of the smallest value reported, some interpolation is still possible.

In sections 10.1-10.9, each parameter is treated individually due to their different radiation responses. Some units were converted to match those in [AD4]. Voltage Gain was not considered due to the high variability observed. One standard deviation is considered for all errors given.

10.1 Power Supply Current

Results from this work show no degradation to the Power Supply Current (Icc) from Electron and Co60 radiation. In [AD4] however, DD_0 leads to a 400% increase in this parameter while the same irradiation for biased LM124 OpAmps, shows no degradation. Displacement damage also contributes to an increase in Icc but only by 28% for an eq. fluence of 1E11 p/cm² and always much lower than for the case of DD_0. See Table 10-1 for all test values.

This means that some other factor was likely affecting the result of DD_0 irradiation outcome, and that no displacement damage from electrons needs to be accounted for in the case of the Power Supply Current.

Test	Test	Eq.	Power Supply Current (A)			
Report	Campaign	Fluence (50 MeV p)	Pre-irradiaton	Post-Irradiation	Drift	
	Co2	0	4.39E-04 ± 3.05E-04	4.31E-04 ± 2.90E-04	-7.83E-06 ± 4.21E-04	
960	Eb1	2.61E+10	4.51E-04 ± 3.31E-04	4.41E-04 ± 3.10E-04	-1.04E-05 ± 4.53E-04	
Eco	Eb2	2.61E+10	2.86E-04 ± 1.12E-08	4.80E-04 ± 3.37E-04	1.94E-04 ± 3.37E-04	
	Eb3	2.93E+10	4.55E-04 ± 3.38E-04	4.42E-04 ± 3.13E-04	-1.26E-05 ± 4.60E-04	
	DD_0	0	1.08E-03 ± 1.23E-02	4.83E-03 ± 1.74E-01	3.74E-03 ± 1.75E-01	
-	DD_1	1E+11	1.09E-03 ± 1.10E-02	8.49E-04 ± 1.36E-02	-2.38E-04 ± 1.75E-02	
AD4	DD_2	2E+11	1.09E-03 ± 4.23E-03	7.35E-04 ± 7.60E-03	-3.59E-04 ± 8.70E-03	
2	DD_3	3.5E+11	1.08E-03 ± 4.52E-03	6.30E-04 ± 2.46E-02	-4.49E-04 ± 2.50E-02	
	DD_4	5.00E+11	1.08E-03 ± 4.37E-03	5.42E-04 ± 9.46E-03	-5.39E-04 ± 1.04E-02	

Fable 10-1 Power Supply Current for all test conditions in this stu	udv (ECc	60) and ir	۱ [AD4]
Table 10-11 ower Supply current for an test conditions in this st	uuy (LCC	, 00, and n	י נהטקן

10.2 Input Offset Voltage

Regarding Voltage Offset degradation, both unbiased test campaigns, Co2 and DD_0, match in magnitude as it can be observed in Table 10-2. In Figure 10-1 one can see that DD degradation

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 108/126




follows an exponential trend doubling the TID drift at 1E+11 50 MeV Proton Eq. Fluence. This explains why there is no enhanced degradation in Eb1, Eb2 and Eb3 since the displacement damage effect is small and within uncertainty values (~0.200 mV).

Test	Test	Eq. Fluence	Input Voltage Offset (mV)			
Report	Campaign	(50 MeV p)	Pre-D	Post-Irradiation	Drift	
	Co2	0	0,141 ± 0,162	0,815 ± 0,182	0,673 ± 0,243	
60	Eb1	2.61E+10	0,103 ± 0,130	0,806 ± 0,140	0,702 ± 0,191	
Есо	Eb2	2.61E+10	0,049 ± 0,170	0,652 ± 0,183	0,603 ± 0,250	
	Eb3	2.93E+10	0,011 ± 0,129	0,750 ± 0,152	0,739 ± 0,200	
[AD4]	DD_0	0	0,096 ± 0,064	0,791 ± 0,120	0,695 ± 0,260	
	DD_1	1,00E+11	0,101 ± 0,070	1,513 ± 0,316	1,412 ± 0,183	
	DD_2	2,00E+11	0,144 ± 0,104	3,360 ± 0,343	3,216 ± 0,182	
	DD_3	3.5E+11	0,089 ± 0,072	6,783 ± 1,771	6,694 ± 0,210	
	DD_4	5.00E+11	0,159 ± 0,086	15,316 ± 1,980	15,157 ± 0,253	

Table 10-2 Input Voltage Offset for all test conditions in this study (ECo 60) and in [AD4]



Figure 10-1 Input Voltage Offset drift in [AD1] showing an exponential fit.

10.3 Input Bias Current +

Input Bias Current+ drift after Co60 irradiation is slightly higher in this work than in [AD4]. The difference is acceptable however (within 2σ), considering that the components are not from the

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 109/126





same manufacturer and within limits. For this parameter, DD is of importance, increasing its drift by \sim 4x for a 50 MeV Proton Eq. Fluence of 1E+11. The parameter logarithmic trend (see Figure 10-2) shows that even for low TNID, as in electron testing, this effect should be observed. In fact, we see that drifts in electron testing are consistently above those registered after Co60 irradiation. No predictions could be made on the parameter drift, due to the different heritage of components used in the two studies.

Test	Test	Eq. Fluence	Input Bias Current + (nA)					
Report	Campaign	(50 MeV p)	Pre-irradiaton Post-Irradiation		Drift			
	Co2	0	20,4 ± 1,7	50,5 ± 3,0	30,1 ± 3,5			
960	Eb1	2.61E+10	18,0 ± 0,5	64,7 ± 2,7	46,7 ± 2,8			
Eco	Eb2	2.61E+10	17,8 ± 0,6	55,7 ± 1,7	37,9 ± 1,8			
	Eb3	2.93E+10	17,8 ± 0,7	70,2 ± 4,3	52,4 ± 4,3			
[AD4]	unbiased	0	11,4 ± 0,3	33,4 ± 0,7	22,0 ± 0,8			
	DD_0	1,00E+11	11,7 ± 0,2	91,7 ± 8,8	80,0 ± 8,8			
	DD_2	2,00E+11	11,4 ± 0,2	176,9 ± 10,3	165,5 ± 10,3			
	DD_3	3.5E+11	11,5 ± 0,2	245,2 ± 19,6	233,6 ± 19,6			
	DD_4	5.00E+11	11,5 ± 0,3	297,3 ± 13,2	285,8 ± 13,2			



Figure 10-2 Input Bias Current + drift in [AD1] showing a logarithmic fit.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date	Final Project Report 15-12-2017
	Page	110/126





10.4 Input Bias Current –

Similar results to the previous section (10.3).

10.5 Input Current Offset

Current Offset measurements show high variability in this study with uncertainties greater than 50% for most measurements as can be seen in Table 10-4. Therefore, comparisons between test campaigns are inconclusive.

Test	Test	Eq. Fluence	Current Offset (nA)					
Report	Campaign	(50 MeV p)	Pre-irradiaton	Pre-irradiaton Post-Irradiation				
	Co2	0	2,51 ± 1,04	0,67 ± 0,47	-1,84 ± 1,15			
960	Eb1	2.61E+10	0,31 ± 0,15	0,05 ± 0,55	-0,26 ± 0,57			
ECo	Eb2	2.61E+10	0,21 ± 0,12	0,40 ± 1,22	0,20 ± 1,23			
	Eb3	2.93E+10	0,16 ± 0,10	0,25 ± 1,58	0,09 ± 1,58			
[AD4]	DD_0	0	0,03 ± 0,04	0,35 ± 0,23	0,31 ± 0,24			
	DD_1	1,00E+11	0,08 ± 0,06	3,15 ± 2,20	3,08 ± 2,20			
	DD_2	2,00E+11	0,10 ± 0,06),10 ± 0,06 5,33 ± 5,72				
	DD_3	3.5E+11	0,08 ± 0,05	7,76 ± 5,03	7,68 ± 5,03			
	DD_4	5.00E+11	0,08 ± 0,05	21,97 ± 7,94	21,89 ± 7,94			

Table 10-4 Current Offset + for all test conditions in this study (ECo 60) and in [AD4]

10.6 Voltage Swing

No degradation was observed on this parameter from TID or TNID testing.

10.7 Power Supply Rejection Ratio

This parameter follows a similar trend as that of Input Offset Voltage. Though displacement damage is relevant at 1E+11 Eq. Fluence (see Table 10-5) for this parameter, it was not observed in this study due to the electron irradiation fluences being 30% below that value.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 111/126





Test Report	Test	Eq. Fluence	Power Supply Rejection Ratio (dB)					
	Campaign	(50 MeV p)	Pre-Irradiation	Post-Irradiation	Drift			
	Co2	0	124,0 ± 6,2	109,1 ± 5,5	-14,9 ± 8,3			
990	Eb1	2.61E+10	125,6 ± 6,3	107,6 ± 5,4	-18,0 ± 8,3			
Eco	Eb2	2.61E+10	124,3 ± 6,2	109,8 ± 5,5	-14,5 ± 8,3			
	Eb3	2.93E+10	123,4 ± 6,2	107,2 ± 5,4	-16,2 ± 8,2			
	unbiased	0	124,1 ± 7,4	115,4 ± 3,8	-8,7 ± 8,3			
<u> </u>	DD_1	1,00E+11	119,0 ± 4,1	101,6 ± 2,2	-17,4 ± 4,7			
[AD4	DD_2	2,00E+11	121,3 ± 7,6	90,7 ± 1,4	-30,6 ± 7,7			
	DD_3	3.5E+11	127,1 ± 8,0	82,6 ± 2,9	-44,4 ± 8,5			
	DD_4	5.00E+11	119,8 ± 5,8	72,8 ± 1,5	-47,0 ± 6,0			

Table 10-5 Power Supply Rejection Ratio for all test conditions in this study (ECo 60) and in [AD4]

10.8 Common-Mode Rejection Ratio

CMRR results in Table 10-6 show higher degradation in TID than TID+TNID cumulative testing. In fact, TNID and TID have opposite effects on the drift of CMRR. This explains why in Eb1 and Eb3 CMMR values start drifting with opposite trends after the third irradiation step.

Test	Test	Eq. Fluence	Common Mode Rejection Ratio (dB)					
Report	Campaign	(50 MeV p)	Pre-Irradiation	Post-Irradiation	Drift			
	Co2	0	98,5 ± 1,3 108,9 ± 2,3		10,5 ± 2,6			
960	Eb1	2.61E+10	98,0 ± 0,6	105,6 ± 1,0	7,6 ± 1,1			
Ecc	Eb2	2.61E+10	97,7 ± 1,3 116,3 ± 2,8		18,6 ± 3,1			
	Eb3	2.93E+10	97,6 ± 0,4	103,6 ± 0,9	6,0 ± 1,0			
[AD4]	unbiased	0	90,1 ± 0,3	111,1 ± 0,7	21,0 ± 0,8			
	DD_1	1,00E+11	89,7 ± 0,2	99,7 ± 8,8	10,0 ± 8,8			
	DD_2	2,00E+11	89,4 ± 0,2	99,2 ± 10,3	9,8 ± 10,3			
	DD_3	3.5E+11	90,4 ± 0,2	83,8 ± 19,6	-6,7 ± 19,6			
	DD_4	5.00E+11	89,7 ± 0,3	72,9 ± 13,2	-16,8 ± 13,2			

Table 10-6 Common-Mode Rejection Ratio for all test conditions in this study (ECo 60) and in [AD4]

Verification	of	Co-60	testing	representativeness	for	EEE
components flown in the Jupiter electron environment						

Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Document Type Date 15-12-2017 Page 112/126

Final Project Report





10.9 Slew Rate Rise and Fall

Both Rise and Fall of Slew Rates follow the same trend as in 10.1. Degradation is not observed in Co60 testing and it is negligible for low TNID in after DD_1, hence it can be stated that it not present in electron testing. Co60 irradiation results obtained are compatible with [AD4], though Slew Rate Fall measured values are of the same order of magnitude as the Rise in [AD4] and almost one order of magnitude highe in this work.

11 Conclusions

In this activity, the response of five different EEE technologies under irradiation with Co60 electrons and with electrons with E>= 10 MeV was compared.

For this purpose 5 irradiation campaigns were performed: with a Co60 source at High Dose Rate () at *Campus Tecnológico Nuclear* of *Instituto Superior Técnico* in Lisbon, with a Co60 source at Low Dose Rate () at ESTEC, with two different 12 MeV (HDR) electron sources at *Hospital Santa Maria* (in Lisbon) and at the RADEF facility in University of Jyvaskyla in Finland and with 20 MeV (HDR) electrons also at RADEF.

Components A, C, D and E – the CMOS transistor, the bi-polar transistor, the Operational-Amplifier and the shunt voltage reference, respectively - showed similar degradation with TID for all tested radiation sources at HDR. Some parameters of component D display larger drifts for electron irradiations, within the values expected from electron contribution to TNID effects. A complete analysis showed that for this type of components electron testing should always consider Displacement Damage effects. Component B – the FLASH-NAND Memory - displayed enhanced sensitivity for gamma irradiation, especially at HDR.

Results obtained in these tests show that Co60 testing is representative for components A, C, D and E to be flown in the Jupiter electron environment and conservative in the case conservative of component B.

Annealing measurements were also performed for components A, C, D and E. No significant recovery was observed in any of the components.

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 113/126





12 Annex I - Test Plan Forms

Test Plan n.	SetId	Component
001	Co1	А
002	Col	В
003	Co1	С
004	Co1	D
005	Co1	E
006	Co2	А
007	Co2	В
008	Co2	С
009	Co2	D
010	Co2	E
011	Eb1	А
012	Eb1	В
013	Eb1	С
014	Eb1	D
015	Eb1	E
016	Eb2	A,B,C,D or E (TBD)
017	Eb2	A,B,C,D or E (TBD)
018	Eb2	A,B,C,D or E (TBD)

Summary Test Plans

Co1 – Co-60 LDR Co2 – Co-60 HDR Eb1 – Electrons with E1 Eb2 – Electrons with E2

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 114/126





Specifics for Co1

14	Test facility name and address.	ESA ESTEC
16	Name of facility and type of radiation	ESA ESTEC
	source.	Co60
17	Type of exposure (single or multiple).	Multiple
19	Level of Interest.	100 kRad
21	Multiple exposure: specification of	(See table below)
	rates (or flux and duration of each	
	exposure).	
25	Irradiation test sequence	(See table below)

21: Multiple exposure: specification of number of exposures, doses and dose rates (or flux and duration of each exposure).

Multiple Irradiation Steps	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Dose(krad(Si))	20.7	41.5	62.2	82.9	103.7			
Dose Rate (rad(Si)s ⁻¹)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01			
Exposure Time	24d	24d	24d	24d	24d			

Test	Description	Requirements
Step		
1	Irradiation T0 -> T0+24d	
2	Measurement @ T0+24d	
3	Irradiation T0+24d -> T0+48d	
4	Measurement @ T0+48d	
5	Irradiation T0+48d -> T0+72d	
6	Measurement @ T0+72d	
7	Irradiation T0+72d -> T0+96d	
8	Measurement @ T0+96d	
9	Irradiation T0+96d -> T0+120d	
10	Measurement @ T0+120d	

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 115/126
	Page	115/126





Specifics for Co2

14	Test facility name and address.	IST – CTN, Loures, Portugal
16	Name of facility and type of radiation	IST – CTN
	source.	Co-60
17	Type of exposure (single or multiple).	Multiple
19	Level of Interest.	100 kRad
21	Multiple exposure: specification of	(see table below)
	number of exposures, doses and dose	
	rates (or flux and duration of each	
	exposure).	
25	Irradiation test sequence	(see table below)

21: Multiple exposure: specification of number of exposures, doses and dose rates (or flux and duration of each exposure).

Multiple Irradiation Steps	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Dose(krad(Si))	20	40	60	80	100			
Dose Rate (rad(Si)s ⁻¹)	6.67	6.67	6.67	6.67	6.67			
Exposure Time	50min	50min	50min	50min	50min			

Test	Description	Requirements
Step		
1	Irradiation T0 -> T0+50min	
2	Measurement @ T0+50min	
3	Irradiation T0+50min -> T0+100min	
4	Measurement @ T0+100min	
5	Irradiation T0+100min -> T0+150min	
6	Measurement @ T0+150min	
7	Irradiation T0+150min -> T0+200min	
8	Measurement @ T0+200min	
9	Irradiation T0+200min -> T0+250min	
10	Measurement @ T0+250min	

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 116/126
components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Date Page	15-12-2017 116/126





Specifics for Eb1

14	Test facility name and address.	Radiotherapy unit of Hospital Santa Maria
16	Name of facility and type of radiation	HSM - Electron beam from CLINAC @
	source.	E=12MeV
17	Type of exposure (single or multiple).	Multiple
19	Level of Interest.	100 kRad
21	Multiple exposure: specification of number of exposures, doses and dose rates (or flux and duration of each exposure).	(see table below)
25	Irradiation test sequence	(see table below)

21: Multiple exposure: specification of number of exposures, doses and dose rates (or flux and duration of each exposure).

Multiple Irradiation Steps	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Dose(krad(Si))	20	40	60	80	100			
Dose Rate (rad(Si)s ⁻¹)	6.67	6.67	6.67	6.67	6.67			
Exposure Time	50min	50min	50min	50min	50min			

Test	Description	Requirements
Step		
1	Irradiation T0 -> T0+50min	
2	Measurement @ T0+50min	
3	Irradiation T0+50min -> T0+100min	
4	Measurement @ T0+100min	
5	Irradiation T0+100min -> T0+150min	
6	Measurement @ T0+150min	
7	Irradiation T0+150min -> T0+200min	
8	Measurement @ T0+200min	
9	Irradiation T0+200min -> T0+250min	
10	Measurement @ T0+250min	

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 117/126





Specifics for Eb2

-		
14	Test facility name and address.	RADEF at University of Jyvaskyla
16	Name of facility and type of radiation	RADEF - Electron beam from CLINAC @
	source.	E=12MeV
17	Type of exposure (single or multiple).	Multiple
19	Level of Interest.	100 kRad
21	Multiple exposure: specification of	(see table below)
	number of exposures, doses and dose	
	rates (or flux and duration of each	
	exposure).	
25	Irradiation test sequence	(see table below)

21: Multiple exposure: specification of number of exposures, doses and dose rates (or flux and duration of each exposure).

Multiple Irradiation Steps	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Dose(krad(Si))	20	40	60	80	100			
Dose Rate (rad(Si)s ⁻¹)	6.67	6.67	6.67	6.67	6.67			
Exposure Time	50min	50min	50min	50min	50min			

Test	Description	Requirements
Step		
1	Irradiation T0 -> T0+50min	
2	Measurement @ T0+50min	
3	Irradiation T0+50min -> T0+100min	
4	Measurement @ T0+100min	
5	Irradiation T0+100min -> T0+150min	
6	Measurement @ T0+150min	
7	Irradiation T0+150min -> T0+200min	
8	Measurement @ T0+200min	
9	Irradiation T0+200min -> T0+250min	
10	Measurement @ T0+250min	

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE	Type	Final Project Report
components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Date	15-12-2017
	Page	118/126





Specifics for Eb3

14	Test facility name and address.	RADEF at University of Jyvaskyla
16	Name of facility and type of radiation	RADEF - Electron beam from CLINAC @
	source.	E=20MeV
17	Type of exposure (single or multiple).	Multiple
19	Level of Interest.	100 kRad
21	Multiple exposure: specification of	(see table below)
	number of exposures, doses and dose	
	rates (or flux and duration of each	
	exposure).	
25	Irradiation test sequence	(see table below)

21: Multiple exposure: specification of number of exposures, doses and dose rates (or flux and duration of each exposure).

Multiple Irradiation Steps	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Dose(krad(Si))	20	40	60	80	100			
Dose Rate (rad(Si)s ⁻¹)	6.67	6.67	6.67	6.67	6.67			
Exposure Time	50min	50min	50min	50min	50min			

Test	Description	Requirements
Step		
1	Irradiation T0 -> T0+50min	
2	Measurement @ T0+50min	
3	Irradiation T0+50min -> T0+100min	
4	Measurement @ T0+100min	
5	Irradiation T0+100min -> T0+150min	
6	Measurement @ T0+150min	
7	Irradiation T0+150min -> T0+200min	
8	Measurement @ T0+200min	
9	Irradiation T0+200min -> T0+250min	
10	Measurement @ T0+250min	

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 119/126





3	ESCC Component Number.		
4	Component designation.	STRH100N10	
5	Manufacturer/user Irradiation		
	Test Specification (number, issue,		
	revision).		
6	Device Family: ESCC Generic and		
	Detail Specifications (number		
	issues and revisions).		
10	Component family.		
11	Component group.		
12	Device package.	TO-254AA	
13	Manufacturer's name and	STMicroelectronics	
	address.		
18	See Items 22 and 23.	Biased;	
		Supply Voltage: VGS bias = +15V; VDS = 0V	
		Temp: ºC Duration: Tamb; (119 day if LDR;	
		<1 day if HDR)	
22	Irradiation conditions: remote or	Biased (Remote Test)	
	in situ, biased or unbiased.	Supply Voltages: VGS bias = +15V; VDS = 0V	
24	Electrical parameters to be tested	3.2.1	

Specifics for Component A

ECo60-JUICE		Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	EEE	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 120/126





3	ESCC Component Number.		
4	Component designation.	MT29F32G08ABAAAWP-ITZ	
5	Manufacturer/user Irradiation		
	Test Specification (number, issue,		
	revision).		
6	Device Family: ESCC Generic and		
	Detail Specifications (number		
	issues and revisions).		
10	Component family.		
11	Component group.		
12	Device package.	48-pin TSOP (CPL)	
13	Manufacturer's name and	Micron	
	address.		
18	See Items 22 and 23.	UNBiased;	
		Temp: ^o C Duration: Tamb;	
		(119 day if LDR; <1 day if	
		HDR)	
22	Irradiation conditions: remote or	Unbiased (Remote)	
	in situ, biased or unbiased.		
24	Electrical parameters to be	3.2.2	
	tested		

Specifics for Component B

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 121/126
---	----------------------------------	--





3	ESCC Component Number.		
4	Component designation.	2N2222	
5	Manufacturer/user Irradiation		
	Test Specification (number, issue,		
	revision).		
6	Device Family: ESCC Generic and		
	Detail Specifications (number		
	issues and revisions).		
10	Component family.		
11	Component group.		
12	Device package.	UB	
13	Manufacturer's name and	ST Microelectronics	
	address.		
18	See Items 22 and 23.	UNBiased;	
		Temp: ºC Duration: Tamb;	
		(119 day if LDR; <1 day if	
		HDR)	
22	Irradiation conditions: remote or	UNBiased (remote)	
	in situ, biased or unbiased.		
24	Electrical parameters to be tested	3.2.3	

Specifics for Component C

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 122/126
---	----------------------------------	--





3	ESCC Component Number.		
4	Component designation.	LM124	
5	Manufacturer/user Irradiation		
	Test Specification (number, issue,		
	revision).		
6	Device Family: ESCC Generic and		
	Detail Specifications (number		
	issues and revisions).		
10	Component family.		
11	Component group.		
12	Device package.		
13	Manufacturer's name and	Texas Instruments	
	address.		
18	See Items 22 and 23.	UNBiased;	
		Temp: ºC Duration:	
		Tamb; (119 day if LDR; <1	
		day if HDR)	
22	Irradiation conditions: remote or	UNBiased (remote)	
	in situ, biased or unbiased.		
24	Electrical parameters to be tested	3.2.4	

Specifics for Component D

ECo60-JUICE Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEI components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Document Type Date Page	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1 Final Project Report 15-12-2017 123/126
---	----------------------------------	--





3	ESCC Component Number.		
4	Component designation.	LM4050	
5	Manufacturer/user Irradiation		
	Test Specification (number, issue,		
	revision).		
6	Device Family: ESCC Generic and		
	Detail Specifications (number		
	issues and revisions).		
10	Component family.		
11	Component group.		
12	Device package.		
13	Manufacturer's name and	Texas Instruments	
	address.		
18	See Items 22 and 23.	UNBiased;	
		Temp: ºC Duration:	
		Tamb; (119 day if LDR; <1	
		day if HDR)	
22	Irradiation conditions: remote or	UNBiased (remote)	
	in situ, biased or unbiased.		
24	Electrical parameters to be tested	3.2.5	

Specifics for Component E

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	^Е Туре	Final Project Report
	Date	15-12-2017
	Page	124/126





Irradiation form fields

- 1- Reference number of Test Plan (3 digits, starting from 001).
- 2- Reference (issue and revision with dates) of the irradiation Test Plan.
- 3- ESCC Component Number.
- 4- Component designation.
- 5- Manufacturer/user Irradiation Test Specification (number, issue, revision).
- 6- Device Family: ESCC Generic and Detail Specifications (number issues and revisions).
- 7- Acceptance Class: Applicable Type of Acceptance (i.e. acceptance of diffusion lot of wafers or procurement lot acceptance).
- 8- Sample size and number of control devices.
- 9- Project or Test Programme requiring this test.
- 10- Component family.
- 11- Component group.
- 12- Device package.
- 13- Manufacturer's name and address.
- 14-Test facility name and address.
- 15- Originator of Test Plan (name and telephone number).
- 16-Name of facility and type of radiation source.
- 17-Type of exposure (single or multiple).
- 18-See Items 22 and 23.
- 19- Level of Interest.
- 20- Single exposure: specification of values at the chip of dose and dose rate (or fluence, flux and duration in the case of particles).
- 21- Multiple exposure: specification of number of exposures, doses and dose rates (or flux and duration of each exposure).
- 22- Irradiation conditions: remote or in situ, biased or unbiased.
- 23- RT Anneal conditions (Note 2): Room temp (°C) Anneal time (hr) Ageing temp. (°C) Ageing time (hr)

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 125/126





13 Annex III – WP3200 PSSA20 form

PRO Veri	JECT: PHASE: 2 fication of Co-60 testing representativeness for FEE components	WP: 3200	
flov	In the Jupiter electron environment		
WP Title: Definition of test plans			
Com	pany: LIP		
WP	Manager: Patrícia Gonçalves		
Star	Event: K0 + 1 m Planned Date: T0 + 1 menth	Issue Ref 1	
End	Event: KO + 3 m Planned Date: T0 + 3 months	Issue Date	
Inpu	ts:		
•	RFQ "SoW"		
•	Proposal		
•	Applicable Documents and Reference Documents listed in the Proposal		
•			
•	D1- List of procured parts		
Task	S:		
 Identification and selection of electron irradiation test facilities and definition of two electron beam energy values for the tests: E1 and E2 ≥ 10 MeV. 			
2. Identification and selection of Co-60 High dose rate test facility.			
3. Elaboration of test plans for each part type for Co-60 at two different dose rates and for irradiation with electron beams of two different energies, up to a total accumulated dose of 100 krad (Si), including:			
٠	Definition of measured electrical parameter, electrical and bias test conditions for each part type		
•	Definition of data analysis observables and analysis procedures		
•	For each of the 5 part types, the test samples will be organized as follows (x 2 biasing configurations for the 3 bipolar technology parts):		
-	- Set Co1: 5 parts to be irradiated with Co-60 at Low Dose Rate		
-	- Set Co2: 5 parts to be irradiated with Co-60 at High Dose Rate		
-	Set Eb1: 5 parts to be irradiated with electron beams at Energy=E1		
-	- Set Eb2: 5 parts to be irradiated with electron beam at Energy= E2		
•	• There will be 10 spare parts for each of the 5 selected technology parts, organized in Sets Sp-Co and Sp-Eb: 2 sets of 5 parts each to be kept as spares and for reference measurements.		
•	For each part type, Set Co1 will be submitted to a 3 months annealing at room temperature.		
•	For each part type, Sets Co2, Eb1 and Eb2 4 will undergo a 6 month annealing period at room temperature.		
•	If the irradiation data collected for any part type is inconsistent or incomplete, 5 spare parts (from Sets Sp) will be irradiated, under similar conditions.		
Out	buts:		
•	D2-D5: Radiation Test plans for each part type to be approved by the agency (AA2)		

ECo60-JUICE	Document	Eco60-LIP-FR-v2.1
Verification of Co-60 testing representativeness for EEE components flown in the Jupiter electron environment	Type Date Page	Final Project Report 15-12-2017 126/126