

ESA ESTEC Keplerlaan 1 2201 AZ Noordwijk The Netherlands

#### TID TEST REPORT FOR COTS LT1521 LDO - DATE CODE: 1738

Prepared by

Viyas Gupta

Document TypeReferenceESA-TECQEC-LAB-TR-2022-001535Issue/Revision1.1Date of Issue09/05/2022StatusFor Information Only



## **APPROVAL**

Title	TID test report for COTS LT1521 LDO - date code: 1738		
Issue Number	1	Revision Number	1
Author	Viyas Gupta	Date	09/05/2022
Approved By		Date of Approval	

# **CHANGE LOG**

TID test report for COTS LT1521 LDO - date code:	Issue Nr	Revision Number	Date
1738			
First issue of the report	1	0	13/04/2022
Document reference added	1	1	09/05/2022

# CHANGE RECORD

Issue Number 1	Revision Number	1	
Reason for change	Date	Pages	Paragraph(s)
Creation	13/04/2022	All	All

## DISTRIBUTION

Name/Organisational Unit



#### **Table of Contents**

1. Introduction	4
2. Documents	4
2.1. Applicable documents	4
2.2. Reference documents	4
3. Part & procurement information	4
4. DOSIMETRY and irradiation facility	6
5. Test set-up	6
5.1. Test set-up overview	6
5.2. Test equipment	8
6. test parameters	8
7. biasing conditions	8
8. Success criteria	9
9. TID results	10
9.1. Pre-irradiation measurements	10
9.2. Irradiation results	10
9.2.1. Unbiased samples	11
9.2.2. Biased samples	15
9.2.3. Annealing of biased/unbiased samples	17
10. Conclusion	19
ANNEX A – DATASHEET	21
ANNEX B – Set-up	22
ANNEX C – Additional information about biasing	23
ANNEX D – Annealing Log files	24



## 1. INTRODUCTION

The current report presents the TID results on the LDO LT1521 (Vout adjustable version), date code: 1738.

The test campaign was performed between the 9<sup>th</sup> August and 24<sup>th</sup> August 2021 at the ESTEC 60Co facility.

Additional information on the context is provided in the test plan [AD02].

## 2. DOCUMENTS

#### 2.1. Applicable documents

AD01 ESCC22900 Total Dose Steady-state irradiation test method, June 2016

AD02 LT1521\_TID\_test\_plan\_LDO

#### 2.2. Reference documents

N/A

## **3. PART & PROCUREMENT INFORMATION**

Part number	LT1521IS8#PBF	
Manufacturer	Analog Devices	
Function	300mALowDropoutRegulatorwithMicropowerQuiescentCurrent and Shutdown	
Technology	Bipolar	
Package	SO-8	



	TOP VIEW OUT 1 SENSE/ADJ* 2 GND 3 GND 3 NC 4 SB PACKAGE 8-LEAD PLASTIC SO *PIN 2 = SENSE FOR LT1521-3/LT1521-3.3/LT1521-5 = ADJ FOR LT1521	
Date Code	1738	
Distributor	Mouser Electronics	
Part #	5 samples unbiased (n°1 to 5) 5 samples biased (n°6 to 10) 1 reference (REF)	

Table 1: Part & procurement information





Figure 1: Package marking (top left & right), X-ray of the DUT (bottom). All samples package markings are the same.



## 4. DOSIMETRY AND IRRADIATION FACILITY

IRRADIATION FACILITY		
Source:	C060	
Localization:	ESTEC, Netherlands	
Dosimetry:	Electrometer: PTW UNIDOS2 s/n 2127	
	Ionisation chamber: PTW TW30012-10 s/n 000417	

IRRADIATION TIMING		
TID steps (krad)	0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 35	
Dose rate (rad(Si)/h)	210	

ANNEALING TIMING		Condition during annealing
Annealing 22°C	24 h	ON for those tested ON
		Unbiased for those tested Unbiased
Ageing 100°C	168h	ON for those tested ON
		Unbiased for those tested Unbiased

## 5. TEST SET-UP

#### 5.1. Test set-up overview

The set-up is schematically depicted in the Figure 2. Additionally, The unbiased DUTs were measured at each of the specified TID steps externally from the irradiation chamber.

A single in-house breadboard allowed to accommodate both biased and unbiased components in a 10\*16 cm<sup>2</sup> breadboard, which limits the TID variation across board to less than 10% (cf. Figure 5). Set-up pictures are provided in Annex B.





Figure 2: Simplified schematic of the overall test set-up

Each power input cable is a 4-wire cable allowing to compensate for any voltage drop. At the breadboard, the input voltage remains less than 10% of the input voltage (5.5V). The load resistor is on the board close to the DUT (i.e. no voltage drop seen on the output voltage lines to the SMU).

All unbiased sample pins were shorted during irradiation.

The PC laptop allowed to acquire and log the output voltage ( $V_{out}$ ) as well as the input current ( $I_{in}$ ) of each of the 5 biased samples. The sampling rate was set to 1 sample per hour (much higher than needed). The laptop time is synchronised to the time used for controlling the Co60 facility.

During each defined TID steps, V<sub>out</sub> and I<sub>in</sub> of each unbiased sample are measured and logged using the breadboard of the reference sample.

An overnight dry-run (> 15h) was done to validate the set-up.



#### 5.2. Test equipment

TEST EQUIPMENT	PARAMETER MEASURED
3 x Keithley 2412A System SourceMeter (2 channels per equipment)	Providing $V_{in}$ = 5.5V for each of the 5 biased components. $I_{max}$ = 200 mA.
1 x Keithley 2410 1100V SourceMeter	Providing $V_{in} = 5.5V$ & measuring $V_{out}$ for the reference & the 5 unbiased components. $I_{max} = 200$ mA.
1 x Hewlett Packard 34970A Data Acquisition	Measuring $V_{out}$ for each of the 5 biased components.
1 x Fluke 115 True RMS Multimeter	Measuring $V_{out}$ for the reference & the 5 unbiased components.
1 x Laptop with LabView	Logging and saving the V <sub>out</sub> measurements using an in-house VI.

## 6. TEST PARAMETERS

The following two parameters are measured:

PARAMETERS	SYMBOLS
Output Voltage	Vout
Input current	lin

## 7. BIASING CONDITIONS

The same biasing conditions of the ON devices is used for the measurements of the unbiased components. Table 2 summarised the main biasing conditions, V<sub>out</sub> is adjusted by 2 resistors (for more info see Annex C):



	Value	Unit
Vin	5.5	V
Vout	3.8	V
load	140	mA
Rload	27	Ω

Table 2: Biasing conditions during irradiation & for measurements.

At 35 krad and during annealing measurements, for additional preliminary investigations,  $V_{out}$  was measured at:

	Value	Unit	Referred as
lload	0	mA	No load
load	140	mA	High load
Rload	27	Ω	
load	~1	mA	Low load
R <sub>load</sub>	3.6	kΩ	

Table 3: Biasing conditions during irradiation & for measurements.

## **8. SUCCESS CRITERIA**

	$V_{out}$ shall remain within [4.5V-3V] up to 15 krad in both ON and
SUCCESS CRITERIA	unbiased conditions when measured in application conditions (load
	of 140 mA).



## 9. TID RESULTS

#### 9.1. Pre-irradiation measurements

Table 4 provides the measured parameters prior to irradiation. Each measurement was done after waiting 5 min (to ensure stabilisation of the parameters):

	Vout (V) @ high load	l <sub>in</sub> @ 5.5V (mA)
Part # REF	<u>3.833</u>	<u>145</u>
Part # 1 (biased)	3.807	143
Part # 2 (biased)	3.822	144
Part # 3 (biased)	3.830	145
Part # 4 (biased)	<u>3.760</u>	<u>142</u>
Part # 5 (biased)	3.821	145
Part # 6 (unbiased)	3.770	142
Part # 7 (unbiased)	3.766	142
Part # 8 (unbiased)	3.825	144
Part # 9 (unbiased)	3.827	145
Part # 10 (unbiased)	3.767	142

Table 4:Pre-irradiation values for all biased/unbiased samples. The min/max V<sub>out</sub> values are underlined.

#### 9.2. Irradiation results

In Figure 3, values are provided in  $TID(H_20)$ , the conversion to TID(Si) is done using the conversion factor of: 0.898.



Dosimet	try ch	ain: F														
Flectr	Distance of the INTROSA - (- 2)27															
LIECUI	Liectrometer: Piw UNIDOS2 S/n 212/															
Ionisa	fonisation chamber: PTW TW30012-10 s/n 000417 Environmental data summary										summary					
Correct	Correction factor set: 1.0000															
Dose u	Dose unit set: Gy min max avg(t)										x avg(t)					
Rate u	nit se	t: mi	n										[°C]	22.80	23.0	0 22.91
Measure	ement	set:	Dose									g	[mbar]	1007.30	0 1021.5	0 1016.26
Measure	ement	range:	Low									R.F	H. [%]	50.80	53.4	0 51.90
incubul.	cincino	zunge.	201										[0]	00.00		01.00
Run	Irrad	liation	Start		Trr	adia	tion	Sto	n		Tota	al Do	ose	Dose	rate	Irr.time
									-							
1	09 Au	ig 2021	10:10	:12	10	Aug	2021	09	:59:	52	55.	710	Gy	2.338	Gy/h	23:49:40
2	10 Au	ig 2021	10:27	:57	11	Aug	2021	10	:11:	09	55.	910	Gy	2.357	Gy/h	23:43:12
3	11 Au	g 2021	10:40	:47	12	Aug	2021	10	:13:	17	55.	450	Gv	2.355	Gv/h	23:32:30
4	12 Au	a 2021	10:56	:12	13	Aug	2021	10	:35:	49	55.	680	Gv	2.354	Gv/h	23:39:37
5	13 Au	a 2021	11:17	27	16	Aug	2021	10	:19:	55	167.	200	Gv	2.353	Gy/h	71:02:28
	10 10	.g 2021		•••		nug	2021				107.	200	0 Y	2.000	01/11	/1.02.20
										T1	200		c	0.050	c. />	165.47.07
										TOCAL	389.	900	GΥ	2.352	GY/n	103:4/:2/
(7.0000)	ron fo	m the	dogo ro	to data	-	1 + h -			mont		motore		time .		a 1	
(Avera	ges Io	r the	dose ra	te data	and	i che	e env	rron	ment	ar para	meters	are	cime-1	vergnted	1.)	

Figure 3: ESTEC Co60 Facility log summary

Measurements of the unbiased components: all measurements have been done under 30 min at room temperature for each irradiation steps.

#### 9.2.1. Unbiased samples

Measurements of the unbiased samples are provided in Table 5. Figure 4 and Figure 5 plot respectively the V<sub>out</sub> and I<sub>in</sub> of all unbiased samples.

All unbiased samples did not provide any V<sub>out</sub> after a TID of 35 krad. It is not clear whether the thermal shutdown function degradation could explain such a behaviour. Changing the load did not results in any improvements.

Although before irradiation, V<sub>out</sub> and I<sub>in</sub> were immediately stabilised values (values not variating in time), all measurements of irradiated parts required a certain time to stabilise (varying sample-to-sample), which increased with TID, and with an increasing difference between the initially read value and the value reached after a certain time given for stabilisation:

- At 5 krad, very small increase (few mV for Vout, few mA for Iin) occurred;
- At 10 krad, it took about 2 minutes for the measured parameters to stabilise. All samples were noted to be become sensitive to temperature fluctuations;



- At 15 krad & 20 krad, after 5 minutes, parameters were not yet fully stabilised. Sensitivity to temperature of all samples appeared very high;
- At 35 krad, no reponse from any samples.

The statistical spread increase which can be noted during the 15 krad and the 20 krad measurements is likely polluted by the above effect, as values may not have reached full saturation.

After 35 krad, an attempt was made on Part # 6 to revive the sample by increasing Vin at 19.5V (absolute max  $V_{in} = 20V$ ) as shown in Table 6. Although a very small voltage reading appears, when no load is applied, the component was clearly not able to recover.



#### TID degradation of output voltage for unbiased (LT1521)

Figure 4: Average V<sub>out</sub> and statistical spread for the unbiased samples (+/-  $3 \sigma$ )





Figure 5: Current inputs for the unbiased samples



	0 k	rad	5 k	rad	10	10 krad		krad	20 k	20 krad		35 krad		35 krad	
	Vout (V) @ high load	l <sub>in</sub> (mA) @ high load	Vout (V) @ high load	l <sub>in</sub> (mA) @ high load	Vout (V) @ high load	l <sub>in</sub> (mA) @ high Ioad	Vout (V) @ high load	l <sub>in</sub> (mA) @ high load	Vout (V) @ high load	l <sub>in</sub> (mA) @ high load	Vout (V) @ low load	l <sub>in</sub> (mA) @ low load	Vout (V) @ high load	l <sub>in</sub> (mA) @ high load	
Part # REF	3.833	145	3.830	145	3.829	145	(3.829) 3.829	(145) 145	3.828	145	3.842	1	3.829	145	
Part # 6	3.807	143	3.745	143	(?) 3.688	(?) 142	(3.5xx) 3.616	(139) 140	(?) 3.527	(?) 137	0	0	0	0	
Part # 7	3.822	144	3.726	142	(3.627) 3.650	(140) 141	(3.4xx) 3.540	(136) 138	(1.7xx) 3.400	(current ramping up from few 10mA) 133	0	0	0	0	
Part # 8	3.830	145	3.774	144	(3.616) 3.675	(140) 142	(3.3xx) 3.545	(135) 138	(1.5xx) 3.261	(current ramping up from few 10mA) 128	0	0	0	0	
Part # 9	3.760	142	3.772	144	(3.590) 3.668	(139) 142	(3.1xx) 3.526	(136) 138	(1.3xx) 3.171	(current ramping up from few 10mA) 125	0	0	0	0	
Part # 10	3.821	145	3.714	142	(3.543) 3.616	(138) 140	(3.2xx) 3.470	(133) 135	(0.9xx) 3.101	(current ramping up from few 10mA) 122	0	0	0	0	

Table 5: Summary of all unbiased sample measurements. Value in brackets are values read as first measurements, value not in bracketare values measured after several minutes. This was done starting at 10 krad.



Part # 6	Vout (V) @ no load	Vout (V) @ low load	Vout (V) @ high load
$V_{in} = 5.5 V$	0 mV	0 mV	0 mV
$V_{in} = 10.5 V$	12 mV	1 mV	0 mV
V <sub>in</sub> = 15.5 V	41 mV	3 mV	0 mV
V <sub>in</sub> = 19.5 V	59 mV	4 mV	0 mV
V <sub>in</sub> = 5.5 V (again)	13 mV	1 mV	0 mV

Table 6: Input voltage changes and impact on the measured parameters for Part # 6 only.

#### 9.2.2. Biased samples

Biased samples were always kept ON during irradiation,

Figure 6, shows the results. The biased devices show an homogeneous degradation of the  $V_{out}$  and  $I_{in}$ .

All parts survived at 35 krad under "high load" conditions, contrary to the unbiased parts.

After the end of the irradiation, after reaching 35 krad, additional measurements with different loads were done on the biased samples after performing a power cycle, and are summarised in Table 7.

During the final "high load" measurement, a similar ramp-up of the V<sub>out</sub> and I<sub>in</sub> was seen, as for the unbiased parts, requiring here about 10 min for the value to stabilise. A higher spread of the initial value (values in bracket in Table 7) can be seem during this measurement with input voltage being close to 1.7 V for the lowest starting value.

Interestingly, stabilisation at "no load" or "low load" took only few seconds, whereas stabilisation at "high load" took between 5 to 10 minutes even if the reached V<sub>out</sub> values were lower. Performing a power cycle did not result is a large improvement of V<sub>out</sub>.

Finally, similarly to the unbiased samples, all biased samples showed a high sensitivity to temperature (although not fully characterised as beyond the scope of this work).



	35 k @ last measurem OFF (for in:	( <b>rad</b> ent before beam formation)	35 After po	krad wer cycle	35 ↓ After pov	krad ver cycle	35 krad After power cycle (after waiting >10 min in biased)	
	Vout (V) @ high load	l <sub>in</sub> (mA) @ high load	Vout (V) @ no load	l <sub>in</sub> (mA) @ no load	Vout (V) @ low load	l <sub>in</sub> (mA) @ low load	Vout (V) @ high load	l <sub>in</sub> (mA) @ high load
Part # REF	-	-	3.845	0	3.843	1	3.829	145
Part # 1	3.189	123	3.307	0	3.296	1	(2.9xx)	(?)
							3.210	124
Part # 2	3.206	123	3.330	0	3.322	1	(2.9xx)	(117)
							3.225	124
Part # 3	3.160	122	3.180	0	3.308	1	(1.7xx)	(72)
							3.156	122
Part # 4	3.135	121	3.267	0	3.255	1	(1.8xx)	(75)
							3.152	121
Part # 5	3.179	123	3.307	0	3.297	1	(2.9xx)	(107)
							3.196	123

Table 7: Summary of the biased measurements after irradiation. Value in brackets are readas first measurements, value not in bracket are measured after several minutes



Figure 6: Average Vout and statistical spread for the biased samples (+/-  $3\sigma$ ) @ high load



#### 9.2.3. Annealing of biased/unbiased samples

Annealing was performed in 2 steps:

- 24h at room temperature
- 168h at high temperature (T=100°C)

Results are plotted in Figure 4 and Figure 5 for unbiased samples and

Figure 6 for biased samples. Table 8 and Table 9 display the measured values for both the annealing steps.

As during the high temperature annealing V<sub>out</sub> of the biased samples was fluctuating, the load was removed which was not originally planned for (Cf. Annex D for the log of events during annealing).

The room temperature annealing did not improve the behaviour of the unbiased samples but slightly improved the V<sub>out</sub> and I<sub>in</sub> values of the biased samples.

However after high temperature annealing, all unbiased parts show a drastic increase of their V<sub>out</sub> and I<sub>in</sub>. All parts (biased and unbiased) showed an partial recovery of those parameters to very similar values, although lower to the pre-irradiation state for all samples.

Interesting to note is that for biased samples, the stabilised (approx. 10 min) V<sub>out</sub> resulted in a loss of few tens of mV whereas for the unbiased samples, the stabilised output (approx. 10 min) voltage resulted in an increase of a few tens of mV.

Finally, all irradiated samples showed a fluctuation of their V<sub>out</sub> with temperature which was not further characterised in this work.



	Vout (V)	l <sub>in</sub> (mA)	Vout (V)	l <sub>in</sub> (mA)	Vout (V)	l <sub>in</sub> (mA)
	@ no load	@ no load	@ low load	@ low load	@ high load	@ high load
Part # REF	3.846	0	3.844	1	3.831	145
		[86 uA]				
Part # 1 (biased)	3.359	0	3.352	1	3.288	127
		[70 uA]				
Part # 2 (biased)	3.382	0	3.378	1	3.301	127
		[71 uA]				
Part # 3 (biased)	3.378	0	3.374	1	3.275	126
		[70 uA]				
Part # 4 (biased)	3.321	0	3.317	1	3.235	124
		[70 uA]				
Part # 5 (biased)	3.356	0	3.351	1	3.272	126
		[70 uA]				
Part # 6 (unbiased)	0.016*	0	0.001	0	0	0
		[1.45 uA]		[1.45 uA]		[1.45 uA]
Part # 7 (unbiased)	0.005	0	0	0	0	0
(1 1 1 1 1 1 )		[1.49 uA]		[1.49 uA]		[1.49 uA]
Part # 8 (unbiased)	0.003	0	0	0	0	0
( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		[1.32 uA]		[1.32 uA]		[1.32 uA]
Part # 9 (unbiased)	0.002	0	0	0	0	0
		[1.18 uA]		[1.18 uA]		[1.18 uA]
Part # 10 (unbiased)	0.002	0	0	0	0	0
· · · · · ·		[1.18 uA]		[1.18 uA]		[1.18 uA]

Table 8:Summary of the measurements after 24h room temperature annealing. For all values, saturation was achieved (approx.. 5 min for each). (\*) Sample 6 condition was changed after putting a higher input voltage to attempt to revive it. Measurements in brackets were done at higher current resolution.



	Vout (V)	l <sub>in</sub> (mA)	Vout (V)	l <sub>in</sub> (mA)	Vout (V)	l <sub>in</sub> (mA)
	@ no load	@ no load	@ low load	@ low load	@ high load	@ high load
Part # REF	3.849	85µA	3.845	1.20mA	3.829	144.8
Part # 1 (biased)	3.530	75µA	3.525	1.10mA	(3.491) 3.466	131.8
Part # 2 (biased)	3.558	75µA	3.552	1.11mA	(3.520) 3.491	132.6
Part # 3 (biased)	3.587	75µA	3.583	1.12mA	(3.550) 3.519	133.7
Part # 4 (biased)	3.516	74µA	3.513	1.10mA	(3.480) 3.444	130.9
Part # 5 (biased)	3.564	75µA	3.562	1.11mA	(3.530) 3.499	132.9
Part # 6 (unbiased)	3.554	85µA	3.550	1.18mA	(3.497) 3.524	136.9
Part # 7 (unbiased)	3.487	85µA	3.485	1.17mA	(3.385) 3.447	134.3
Part # 8 (unbiased)	3.513	87µA	3.508	1.18mA	(3.350) 3.467	135.6
Part # 9 (unbiased)	3.521	87µA	3.517	1.19mA	(3.410) 3.462	135.3
Part # 10 (unbiased)	3.468	87µA	3.465	1.17mA	(3.280) 3.411	132.5

Table 9:Summary of the measurements after high temperature 168h annealing. For all values, saturation was achieved (approx. 10 min for each), values in bracket are initial measured values.

## **10. CONCLUSION**

Based on the success criteria mentioned in section 8, this test is considered as passed for this particular procurement lot. A small deviation from the planned annealing (no load instead of high load) of the ON samples had to be performed.

A degradation of V<sub>out</sub> & I<sub>in</sub> can be expected, similar between the biased & unbiased parts and within the pass/fail criteria. The most extreme values (considering all samples and results after irradiation only) being:

-  $V_{out}$  (@15 krad) = 3.39 V (-3 $\sigma$ ) / 3.68 V (+3 $\sigma$ )



Above 15 krad, the unbiased samples show a higher degradation than the biased samples.

Annealing did not degrade the response of any parts. On the contrary, annealing was able to restore to an extend V<sub>out</sub> of all parts, especially high temperature annealing of the unbiased parts.

During irradiation measurements of the unbiased parts, it was noted that:

- V<sub>out</sub> required some time before being able to be stabilised (this time increasing with TID level) with initial values still staying within the pass-fail criteria up to 15 krad.
- Vout appeared to be affected by temperature and this should be further investigated.

It is highly suspected that besides the part degradation (leading to a degradation of  $I_{in}$  and  $V_{out}$ ), the thermal shutdown/protection system degradation is adding to the overall effects observed. Effect of the load was only briefly analysed. These topics should be further investigated.





LINK:

https://www.analog.com/media/en/technical-documentation/data-sheets/lt1521-1521-3-1521-3-3-1521-5.pdf





## ANNEX B – SET-UP



Figure 7: Set-up during dry-run.



Figure 8: Breadboard to be installed in the 60Co chamber with the 10 samples.



Figure 9: Reference board also used for the measurements of the unbiased samples.



## ANNEX C – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT BIASING

The same biaising condition of the ON devices is used for the measurements of the unbiased components. Table 2 summarised the main biasing conditions.

Considering R7 = 56 (+/- 5%) k $\Omega$  and R8 = 1 (+/- 5%) k $\Omega$ , and assuming ladj = 50 nA @ 25°C (cf. Annex 10 - datasheet):

 $V_{out} = 3.75V \times (1+R8/R7) + (Iadj \times R8) \approx [3.81 - 3.82] V$ 

Additionally, a load is added in series to the output in order to simulate the load foreseen in the application:  $R_{load} = 27$  (+/- 1%)  $\Omega$  which results in a current load of 140 mA. The application current load is 150 mA.



Figure 10: Schematic of the biasing conditions for the ON LT1521 samples, with the resistive load.



## **ANNEX D – ANNEALING LOG FILES**

Timestamps	Description				
16/08/2021 14:15	Annealing started (room temperature)				
17/08/2021 15:36	Annealing ended (room temperature)				
17/08/2021 17:40	Annealing started (high temperature),				
	include ramping up in temperature.				
	Chamber opened to check that everything				
17/08/2021 18:20	was fine as parameters were behaving				
	weird.				
17/08/2021 18:37	Chamber closed again after fixing again the				
11/00/2021 10.07	set-up. High measurement rate kept.				
	Chamber opened to remove load as the				
18/08/2021 10.42	thermal shutdown is probably kicking in.				
10/00/2021 10.42	Besides this fluctuation, Vout Improved from				
	3.1 to 3.3 overnight. High measurement kept				
	Chamber closed back again slight overshoot				
18/08/2021 10:50	in temperature 110 deg. Vout increasing as				
10,00,2021 10.00	no load. Few minutes later (11:00) sampling				
	frequency reduced to 1 every hour				
24/08/2021 17:20	Resart of Keithley VIs because they stopped				
	logging (60s logging time)				
24/08/2021 17:40	Shutdown and open chamber (loging interval				
	60s)				
24/08/2021 18:00	Set logging interval to 1h				
25/08/2021 9:50	Stop logging				
25/08/2021 10:00 - 11:00	Measure all components				
20/00/2021 10:00 - 11:00	Annealing ended (high temperature)				

\*\*\* End of document \*\*\*